

Instauration®

VOL. 23, NO. 11

OCTOBER 1998

Is he big enough for suicide? Not by a long shot. It takes guts to remove yourself from this mortal coil and sniveling draft dodgers like Clinton are born gutless.

Clinton is counting on his beloved minorities to keep him in the White House. Ironically a mouthy female member of his favorite minority is causing him the most trouble.

A major player in this disgusting affair is the American Majority. Notwithstanding Clinton's rotten character, tens of millions of Majority members stand behind



him, despite his betrayal of them and despite his tearing off the last shred of dignity from the presidency.

Of all Americans, Clinton is the least deserving of being president. But what else can be expected in an corrupt, moribund democracy like the one we are living in?

Marriage was once a sacred vow. Now it is a hyper-hypocritical arrangement in which a skewed, power-hungry

First Lady "stands by her man," but only in public.

We are talking about the decline and fall of a once great adventure in nation-building and statesmanship.

Americans get the president they deserve. That is why such a creature as Clinton manages to emerge and defile the social order.

As Clinton is, so is the United States.

The media keep demanding that Clinton make a deeper and more meaningful apology. The act of *felo-de-se* is the most profound apology any pol can make.

The Safety Valve

In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

❑ The age of female virtue is past (if it ever existed). The world is no longer a place for Don Quixote to rescue Dulcinea. Tilting at windmills does no good. Hoopskirts, petticoats and corsets laced up to the neck are nowhere to be seen. Do you people still intend to do battle for Paula Jones?

472

❑ Had Jews really possessed the wealth they claim, they never would have let it sit in Swiss banks unclaimed for 50 years!

742

❑ The widely publicized ad of the black kid who explains stock market intricacies to a boobish white male adult is totally untrue to life. It is the most unreal and fallacious treatment of the Negro IQ deficit I have ever come across.

200

❑ The President of Georgia State University, speaking at a local Kiwanis Club, stated that the track and field participants did more than \$2 million in damage to the rooms and buildings provided for them during the Atlanta Olympics. No mention of this in the local or national press. Wonder why?

303

❑ In their family life humans could learn from many animals. Geese mate for life. Wolves are faithful to their mates. Cats risk their lives to rescue their kittens.

Few animals engage in such perversions as pedophilia or sado-masochism. The current politically correct line is that homosexuality is normal—at least as good as heterosexuality. It is now common to read and hear that gay is better.

521

❑ Clinton might recall that any nation that supports and abets terrorism is a terrorist nation. Can you think of any nation you are familiar with that supports and abets terrorism? Think hard.

785

❑ I hear some Negroes are upset that the movie, *Saving Private Ryan*, does not feature black soldiers. Sigh. Maybe their whining will put a furrow in Spielberg's brow!

190

❑ Re African Embassy bombings, not one American in 1,000 realizes that we are in a war against a billion Muslims on behalf of Israel. The price of this ignorance is getting higher and higher every year.

301

❑ When I heard about the Swiss plane crash which killed 229, including 137 Americans, many of them UN employees, I thought, wow, the Muslims are sure getting back at us fast!

328

❑ The N.Y. Times represents very powerful interests that have a big stake in a Gore presidency. That the Times is turning on Clinton gives the Veep a head-start.

333

❑ Visibly flushed with anticipation, a Jewess commenting on the Swiss payoff said that some 500,000 Holocaust survivors should collect pronto. Given the normal mortality of people in that age group, if that many survived, shouldn't that Six Million be revised downward?

118

❑ I'm against investing Social Security money because in no time at all it will be used to promote "diversity." Doubtless Jesse Jackson will have lots of ideas where to stash the dough!

902

❑ H.L. Mencken said it was impossible to underestimate the intelligence of the average American. He also stated Americans would get the government they de-

served and he hoped they got it good and hard. In 1925, Georges Clemenceau, ending his visit to the U.S., was asked by reporters what he thought of America. His two comments were that Americans made bad coffee and were woefully ignorant of history.

115

❑ If democracy produces a twerp like Clinton, what does this say about democracy?

377

❑ Today for the first time more Hispanic than black babies are being born in the U.S. There are already many conflicts between the two groups. In our group-rights oriented society, we can expect a lot more.

466

❑ A recent letter to the N.Y. Times from a Holocaust survivor relates that just about any Germans in the WWII era would savagely kill any Jew they might discover. Missing from the ongoing tsunami of Holocaust analysis: any explanation of why Jews arouse such irrational hate.

110

❑ What I enjoy most about my TV is being able to turn it off.

113

❑ We need a Sallust to describe today's Jurgurthine corruption of our politics by foreign money. We need a Suetonius to tell the tale of moral decadence in high places. We need a Tacitus to find a de-

CONTENTS

The Atrocity That Wasn't.	4
From Freedom to Slavery.	6
Report on Homelessness.	8
Marv's Speedy Rehab.	9
Multinationalism.	10
The Moneyfication of Sports. . . .	11
Straight Talk About Wiggers. . . .	12
Forever American.	13
Hitler and Today's Majority.	14
New Black Standards in Ads.	15
Backtalk.	16
Cultural Catacombs.	18
Inklings.	20
Primate Watch.	21
Talking Numbers.	22
Waspishly Yours.	23
Satcom Sam.	24
Notes from the Sceptred Isle. . . .	25
Elsewhere.	26

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$35 (third class)
\$45 (first class)
\$48 Canada
\$50 foreign (surface)
\$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$3, postpaid
Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope

Wilmot Robertson, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.
Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.
Advise change of address well in advance.
ISSN 0277-2302

©1998 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

The Safety Valve

cent society with which to contrast our own. Clinton strikes me as Neronian. Witness his self-serving sound bytes (*qualis artifex pereo*). When is an honest Senator going to cry out in the manner of Cicero? (*Quousque tandem abutere patientia nostra?*)

550

□ During my stint in the U.S. Armed Forces a group of enlisted men made quite a sum soliciting contributions for the widow of the unknown soldier.

569

□ Does an anti-Semite have to be a Hitlerite?

911

□ How come we have terms for Aryan-Indians (mestizos) and African-Aryans (mulattos), but no term for Jewish-Aryans? How about Israel-Lite?

864

□ During the past three and a half decades many Majority Americans, especially the baby boomer generation, have become garish, pretentious, careerist, sycophantic, hedonistic, litigious, contradictory opportunistic, acquisitive, media-obsessed celebrity wannabes.

553

□ Apartheid in South Africa is over. So is prosperity. South African blacks are free—free to rot and indulge in anthropophagy.

785

□ Americans had better ask themselves why there is such intense hatred of this country, intense enough to motivate the destruction of two U.S. embassies in Africa. We had better ask ourselves what disadvantages we are going to have from that intense hatred by countries that control much of the world's petroleum reserves. That hatred has now become even greater. How many Americans recall that in 1981 the criminal Jewish state in Palestine which we helped to establish in 1948 bombed Iraq without provocation? I'd guess one in 1,000.

741

□ *The End of Racism* is a provocative title for a mentally confused book by a man of color, Dinesh D'Souza. Exactly how is this noble goal to be achieved? By recognizing that the problem is black culture, which D'Souza infers can easily

be exchanged for something more in tune with the times, something very much like white culture! So, if blacks will only recognize that they should act white, all will be well. Oh, yeah.

733

□ Okay, here's the deal. Set aside a big area where only people of our race will be allowed to vote or to serve in government. We'll levy taxes, make all the laws. Members of other races can remain—for now—but won't have any citizenship rights. Is this a white separatist KKK dream? Nope, it's the deal the Canadian government has just given an Indian tribe in British Columbia.

Canadian subscriber

□ Clinton has no more fervent defender than Newsweek reporter Eleanor Clift. At first she insisted he didn't lie. Now she admits he lied, but only "to defend family values!" Just when you think the nonsense can't get more absurd, it does.

303

□ Monica set her cap for Clinton, stalked him and seduced him (not exactly a Herculean feat!). I heard there is a possibility of "that woman" filing a civil suit against the Arkansas heartthrob. Can our legal system get any more screwed up?

610

□ JFK realized he was out of line in his sexual peccadilloes and covered them up. Until recently there was such a thing as decorum. Even those scorning it recognized that it was better for society to maintain the pretense of being civilized. No longer. An old fogey like Bob Dole now thinks it's appropriate to boast of what Viagra did for him. Clinton's crimes are not so much what he has done, but his contempt for the dignity of the country's highest office.

225

□ In Quentin Tarantino's *Jackie Brown*, black actor Samuel Jackson calls just about everybody a "nigger." In *Bulworth*, Halle Berry refers to the Warren Beatty character as "my nigger." Being white, I'm forbidden to use the N word. Blacks can use it freely.

411

□ The U.S. is a one-party state, but so effectively disguised the public doesn't realize it. Take issues such as abortion, welfare, handouts to immigrants and

bailouts for bad loans to Mexico and Asia. Republicans successfully pretend to differ, but don't.

055

□ Did you see the Clintons yachting about in the sailboat of the "most trusted" man on TV? Uncle Walter Cronkite was in the forefront of inveigling us into the Vietnam War, which we should have stayed 8,000 miles away from. Later he switched his slanted reports and urged us to get out of the war under the most humiliating circumstances. If Cronkite had not been so "trusted," if he had been mistrusted, as he should have been, hundreds of thousands of Americans might still be alive.

236

□ Who was the person who invited Rev. Jesse Jackson to come to the White House and conduct a seance on healing? Not Bill. Not Hillary. It was Chelsea, who is supposed to have a spiritual streak. She would probably have gotten better advice from Charles Manson.

488

□ Over the past year we have been treated to a lot of African-American views on the sinking of the *Titanic*. Seems the tale is of little consequence to them since there were no blacks on board. I know just how they feel. Whenever I hear about a boatload of Haitians checking into Davy Jones' locker, I feel the same way.

752

□ A recent offering in my alumni magazine showed how playing the race card has gone global. Apparently it is a common technique in those "colorful" bazaars in humid, woggy countries for a merchant to engage a white tourist in a discussion about racism. If the tourist chooses not to buy anything, he is condemned as a racist!

224

□ Perhaps the best way to illustrate the true meaning of L'affaire Clinton is to contrast his behavior with that of Martin Luther King Jr. Clinton is accused of propositioning Paula and doing it with Monica. King, by contrast, did it with dozens of white women in hotels across the country and frequently beat them up afterwards. King was an outright fellow traveler and plagiarizer. But he did not oppose Israeli settlements on the West Bank so he did not have to testify before a Grand Jury under penalty of perjury.

472

The Atrocity That Wasn't

Ronald M. Cohen died on April 21, 1998. You probably missed the news. Even if you had heard about his death, it probably didn't ring a bell. Ronald Cohen was hardly a household name. But his career as a Hollywood screenwriter, bereft as he was of talent, holds a lesson for us.

Cohen began his writing career in the early 60s when he sold a script to Steve McQueen for the *Wanted: Dead or Alive* TV series. For inexplicable reasons he found the Western genre particularly to his liking. In the late 60s he scripted two forgettable Westerns, *Blue* and *The Good Guys and the Bad Guys*. More typical of the filmmaking of his ethnic brethren, Cohen couldn't resist interracial themes. He wrote the pilot for *American Dream*, a 1981 TV series that portrayed an upper middle-class white family moving into a black neighborhood in Chicago. For his artistic labors, he was nominated for an Emmy. The sitcom, however, was short-lived.

Before his death, Cohen was still up to his old tricks. His script for *Last Stand at Saber River*, a TV movie with Tom Selleck, netted him a Western Heritage Wrangler Award. The film was the highest-rated made-for-cable movie ever. Although this sounds like damning with faint praise, Cohen's handiwork demands a closer look.


Cohen's script was based on a 1959 Western novel by Elmore Leonard, who started out writing paperback Westerns before his name became synonymous with modern crime thrillers, a number of which (*52 Pickup* and *Rum Punch*) featured realistic, that is to say, unflattering portraits of Negro thugs.

As potboilers go, *Last Stand at Saber River* is not bad. Unusual for a paperback original almost 40 years old, the book is still in print, probably because of the author's name-brand status rather than the work's intrinsic merits. Even in this early effort, Leonard's craftsmanship is evident, even it does fall far short of great art. As luck would have it, I was reading the novel at the time the movie showed up on television. This provided me with a rare opportunity to see how the novel had been transformed by a scriptwriter while it was still fresh in my mind.

The story deals with one Paul Cable, a Confederate veteran who returns to the Arizona territory towards the end of the Civil War only to find that his ranch has been commandeered by some Yankee sympathizers. When they refuse to leave, Cable's only option is to fight. Since he was trained in the art of war by General Nathan Bed-

ford Forrest, he obviously will not go quietly.

In the novel there is no political sermonizing, no Yankee or Reb bias one way or the other. Cable, a devout believer in the Southern cause, gallops east to lend a hand. The teleplay, however, asserts that he deserted his family. A purported child, who died in his absence, is created to instill guilt. The opening chapter of the book specifically mentions wounds to Cable's hip and thigh. In Cohen's movie, he has merely been "shot in the butt," doubtless to symbolize the foolishness of the Southern cause.



General Forrest was maligned

Given the widespread cultural illiteracy in America, the name Nathan Bedford Forrest probably doesn't ring many bells in the minds of the average dolts glued to the tube. But if you're Ronald Cohen, you can't resist a chance to "educate" your audience. There was no way Cohen could drag in Forrest's role as founding father of the Ku Klux Klan because the story takes place before that seminal event. The book implies that Cable couldn't have had a better teacher than General Forrest. Cohen could not let that pass. Instead, Forrest is de-

scribed by one of Cohen's characters as a "murderous son of a bitch," because of what he did at Fort Pillow where, according to the script, he "executed" 300 Union prisoners, most of them black.

Not being a Civil War buff (my contention is that Reconstruction holds more relevant lessons for contemporary Americans than 19th-century military tactics), I was totally ignorant of Fort Pillow, so I scurried down to the public library to find out what the fuss was about. Not surprisingly, historians exonerate General Forrest from any uncivilized conduct at Fort Pillow.

Originally built by the Confederates in 1861, Fort Pillow was 40 land miles (or 80 river miles) north of Memphis. It was taken by Union forces in 1862 and used as a warehouse. Marauders from the fort regularly set out to pilfer horses and supplies, and persecute Southern civilians in the surrounding area. Of the 557 Union soldiers in the fort, 295 were white, 262 black. A number of the white soldiers were Confederate deserters and Southern unionists (the latter sometimes referred to as Tennessee Tories).

On April 12, 1864, Forrest laid siege to the fort. Thanks to the marksmanship of the Southern riflemen, the Union troops soon found themselves overmatched—even though half the Confederates were recruits of less than four months. Fort Pillow had some heavy guns, but they

were largely useless against the close-in position of the Confederate troops. At one point Forrest offered his foes the opportunity of an honorable surrender. He was rebuffed. The Confederates attacked again and 20 minutes later the fort was theirs. The final tally of Union casualties was 206 killed, 130 wounded.

The Northern press played up Fort Pillow as the biggest atrocity of the war. The U.S. Congress and the Union Army held investigations of dubious integrity. The testimony was *ex parte*, often contradictory, and a big chunk of it emanated from illiterate Negroes. Rumors and hearsay were given the weight of gospel. But an atrocity and a villain were necessary, for many Northerners were tired of the war and Lincoln's reelection was far from assured. By characterizing Fort Pillow as a massacre, rather than just another battle, the agit-proppers hoped to stir up anti-Southern sympathy. That they had to lie to do so was of little import.

The carnage at Fort Pillow was more the result of Union incompetence than Confederate cruelty. After the commanding officer, Major Lionel Booth, was killed by a rebel sharpshooter, the fort was left in the incapable hands of Major William Bradford, who escaped from the fort during the battle.* As a result of the officer shortage, the Union soldiers suffered from a "who's in charge" syndrome. Outnumbered and in an indefensible position, no one could be found who had the authority to surrender. The Confederates battled on so long as there was any resistance. Finally, mercifully, a Southern soldier cut down the Union flag and the Confederate soldiers ceased firing. There never was a formal surrender on the part of the Union Army.

It didn't take long for the news of the "atrocity" to circulate in the North.

It was said that Forrest slaughtered old folks, women and children. In fact, they had all been evacuated. The only civilians in the fort were volunteer combatants.

It was said that Forrest violated the flag of truce to deploy his men to greater advantage. In fact, his men were already well-positioned and the only troop movements he ordered were to prevent Union gunboats on the Mississippi from landing and disgorging more artillery and infantry during the truce.

It was said that Forrest ordered the slaughter of the Negro troops. In fact, Fort Pillow marked the first major conflict between Negro troops (about half of whom were runaway slaves) and the Confederacy. Needless to say, it was not Forrest's policy to kill blacks. If possible, he would return captured slaves to their owners. Failing that,

*Major Bradford was later captured—unwounded. After giving his word of honor that he would return after being allowed to attend the burial of his brother, who was killed during the siege, he escaped again. He was captured the next day and shot under mysterious circumstances, perhaps while trying to escape, perhaps in cold blood. As a prominent Tennessee Tory, Bradford received little respect from the Confederate soldiers.

he would put them to work on Confederate public works projects. During the siege the Negroes had imbibed large quantities of whiskey, ale and beer. They taunted the Confederate soldiers in words and gestures (a 19th-century version of trash-talk). Their drunkenness made them easy pickings. Some of the Negroes who managed to flee the fort threw themselves in the river and drowned or were shot while trying to escape.

It was said that Forrest buried Union soldiers alive after the battle. In fact, the Union dead were interred by Union burial details. If perchance they were buried alive, it was not Forrest's doing.

It was said that Forrest burned Negroes alive. After the battle the Confederates did set fire to cabins and tents inside the fort, but the only Negroes in them were already dead.

It was said that Forrest had a policy of inducing Negroes to fight to the death. In fact, individual Negroes would surrender, then pick up their weapons and start fighting again. The Confederates had no choice but to continue the melee.

If Forrest had intended a massacre, it is odd that he would order that the Union wounded be given provisions and medicine. They were evacuated on boats going up river. The prisoners of war—by their own admission—were well treated after the battle.

The complete story of the siege of Fort Pillow is available in much more detail from other sources. The worst source is Ronald Cohen.

The siege itself illustrated Forrest's famous dictum, "War means fighting and fighting means killing." Jews like Cohen always have a hard time coming to grips with this idea—unless the war involves Israel. When we allow someone from that tribe to interpret our own mythology—and that is exactly what the Western genre is—then we deserve what we get. My advice is to read those screen credits carefully and check those writers, directors and producers for suspicious names. Their penchant for spin goes far beyond tales of the Holocaust and anti-racist tracts. Indeed, if it is so important to perpetuate lies about a siege that took place more than 134 years ago, how can we expect to get the truth about more recent events?

Cohen is gone but there are plenty more where he came from. Small wonder the entertainment business is so attractive to Jews. It allows them to combine two of their favorite pastimes: rewriting history and making a financial killing! Such a deal!

JUDSON HAMMOND

For Further Reading

Life of General Nathan Bedford Forrest by John Allan Wyeth, M.D., Morningside Bookshop (Dayton OH), 1975.

"First with the Most" Forrest by Robert Selph Henry, Greenwood Press (Westport CT), 1974.

General Forrest by Captain J. Harvey Mathes, D. Appleton & Co. (New York, NY), 1902.

Bedford Forrest and His Critter Company by Andrew Lytle, Green Key Press (Seminole FL), 1984.

From Freedom to Slavery

From *Slavery to Freedom*, written by John Hope Franklin, was first published in 1947. Since then it has had many revisions and additions. Franklin sought to tell the story of the blacks among us by relying on the "essential facts in the history of the American Ne-



Franklin, senile black racist

gro from his ancient African beginnings down to the present time." To present his case for Negro influence and accomplishment, the author begins with the civilizations of ancient Egypt and Ethiopia. He notes and annotates black history and culture, including the practice of slavery in the African states of Ghana, Songhay, Melle and lesser states. The inhumanity of the white man is extensively discussed in 13 chapters and 250 pages. More of a mythologist than an historian, the author ends his book with a chapter on "The Negro Revolution," in which he details the civil rights struggle and black advancement in the U.S. and in the world.

Franklin wrote that the ancient Egyptians were a mélange of Mediterranean, Semitic and Ethiopian genes. Due to this genetic intermingling and cultural infusion, he feels,

[I]t is almost impossible to ascribe any feature of the civilization which emerged to any particular group. Subsequent migrations continued and altered the Egyptian character and appearance in favor of the group whose influx was heaviest.

Furthermore, he boldly asseverates, "Homer and other Greek writers regarded the Egyptians as black."

The author realizes that modern historians will "interpret facts as they desire, but they also bear witness to the fact that in all probability Egyptians were a decidedly mixed race with all the variable characteristics that such a group usually possesses." Franklin here is interpreting facts, while ignoring and confusing other realities that would damage his argument. He contends that Negroes (or perhaps off-white hybrids bearing Negro genes) significantly contributed to the advancement of Egyptian civilization. "The constant infiltration of Negroid peoples from the south (Nubia and Ethiopia) and the domination by Negroes of Egypt's political life in its later stages of development cannot be regarded lightly in any final evaluation of the sources of culture and civilization in ancient Egypt."

Arthur Schlesinger, Jr. in his book, *The Disuniting of America*, quotes several experts who doubt the Negro played an essential role in ancient Egypt. One, Egyptologist Dr. Miriam Lichtheim, says such claims are

errant nonsense which is being propagated in the American black community about the Egyptians being Nubians and the Nubians being black. The Egyptians were not Nubians, and the original Nubians were not black. Nubia gradually became black because black peoples migrated northward out of central Africa. The "Nile Valley School" is obviously an attempt by American blacks to provide themselves with an ancient history linked to that of the high civilization of ancient Egypt.

Schlesinger goes on to debunk Franklin's claim that Ghana, Songhay and other lesser states were glorious, prosperous nations founded by blacks. They were founded by Arabs and when the blacks took control they became little more than savage hellholes. The "essential facts" Franklin uses to bolster the status of the Negro from "his ancient African beginnings" come under attack, not by a white supremacist but by a minority liberal. Schlesinger reveals Franklin's unalloyed white racism:

Salvation lies in breaking the white, Eurocentric grip. . . . Europe. . . is the source of most of the evil in the world anyway and the time is overdue to honor the African contributions to civilization.

The gilding of Negro history by Franklin on the very first pages of his book makes the average reader suspicious of his integrity. His historical accounts are mostly slanted. Interestingly he claims a strong Negro influence

in Mexico which many historians prefer to ignore. He writes, "More than 60,000 Negroes entered Mexico during the first century of conquest" and many more were to come later. The Negroes mixed with the whites and Indians so completely that they were no longer a distinct population component by the year 1800. Today, the modern Mexican frequently has as much black as white blood.

By the mid-1500s Indians were nearly extinct in the West Indies. As early as 1503, African slaves were being imported to replace the Indians, though the importation of black slaves to Mexico is not discussed. Since Columbia, Peru, and Brazil received thousands of African slaves, why leave out Mexico?

Negroes fought in the Revolutionary War on both sides, often receiving their freedom after the war. By 1790 there were 59,000 free Negroes. In the next two decades they almost doubled. By 1810 the number of freedmen started to dwindle and by 1860 there was a crisis concerning the manumission of slaves. Whites were becoming increasingly anxious about the no-win situation of Africans in America. Once freed, these unwanted, disenfranchised blacks were sometimes required to leave their home state. In the years before the outbreak of the Civil War there were 488,000 freed blacks! Franklin wrote:

After the Civil War most of the newly freed blacks went from slavery to peonage. Most whites could accept black freedom, but many whites were adamantly opposed to black citizenship, voting rights and economic enfranchisement. As one white Southerner stressed, "the ex-slave was not a free man; he was a free Negro."

Franklin ignores unflattering black characterizations. His blatant omissions are exasperating. Concerning the Haitian Revolution of 1791, he states that the uprising "in its magnitude and intensity demonstrated that the Negroes' determination to secure freedom and equality" was so strong that they went out and "killed their white masters." Murder, rape and torture more accurately describe the fate of the French planters. Blacks murdered and tortured white men, forced white women to prostitute themselves and drank blood out of the skulls of murdered white children. These horrific details Franklin obviously considers superfluous in his account of events in Haiti.

The Haitian Revolution and the possible spread of slave revolts caused Napoleon to sell Louisiana to the U.S. Franklin points out that Americans were aware and afraid of the potential spread of revolution from the former French colony. However he fails to mention that Yankee traders were trading with the Haitian revolutionaries and supplying them with instruments of war. Once again, to make a dollar, the Yankee trader demonstrates his eagerness to trade with a potential adversary.

The sexual exploitation of female slaves by their masters was chronicled thoroughly by Franklin. He writes that some race mixture resulted from "the association of Negro men and white women, but this was only a small percent

of the total." Later he changes his tune, "The practice of white women mixing with Negro men was fairly widespread during the colonial period and had not entirely ceased by 1865." Ironically he criticized the renegade white men for not being resolute racists. Most historians admit that a significant mulatto population (between 10%-20% of slave population) was produced by male master-female slave couplings.



The first slaves arrived in America at Jamestown in 1619

Franklin confronts white racism in an almost matter-of-fact manner. To him American history is essentially a history of white supremacy and the oppression of blacks. He calmly reports that until recently white supremacy was so firmly entrenched in the U.S. that few Negroes dared to criticize it, let alone take forceful measures against it.

White racialists, according to Franklin, attribute black ascendancy to special rights "conferred by government, not by God, nature or heritage." The Founding Fathers intended the U.S. to be a white society. They did not reserve civil rights or citizenship for nonwhites. African slaves were a quick fix for the labor shortages of a burgeoning nation. In 1860 Abraham Lincoln conceded that the presence of slavery makes "toleration and protection a necessity." In 1998 the federal government expects whites to tolerate the special minority status of blacks, a status the government coddles and protects. Franklin conveniently omits mentioning the recent black social plague that has ruined America's bigger cities. Despite tremendous help from the government, blacks have brought illegitimacy, sloth, disease, drugs and crime to almost every urban neighborhood.

I wonder if Franklin is pleased that the Negro has Uncle Sam's iron boot planted firmly on the neck of the white man?

Firsthand Report on Homelessness

After a jolt to career and family that occurred over a decade ago, I strongly considered spending the rest of my life as a Franciscan. St. Francis was my favorite saint and his helpfulness to the poor was an inspiration. Settling in among Franciscans in Philadelphia seemed a logical step to take. A copy of the Great Judgment scene in the Gospel According to St. Matthew stimulated me to take a literal view of feeding the hungry, clothing the naked and sheltering the homeless. When St. Francis responded to the command to renew the church, he literally painted and repaired an abandoned chapel. There was more to renewal, he learned, than mere cosmetics when nobody changed as a result of his hard work. I remembered this episode from his life as I noted that my efforts did not seem to affect the long term condition of the poor with whom I worked. Also, it did not take long for me to realize that I could not devote my life to a way of life established over 700 years ago.

So I traveled across the country and settled into the Catholic Worker movement in San Diego. For several years I spent a month every fall living and working with this lay movement on the fringe of Catholicism. In summer I went to the northwest to work beside migrants in the orchards.

All in all, I have worked and stayed in over 100 homeless shelters, the bulk of them run by independent Baptists, several by Catholics and a few by other denominations. Here and there I found shelters run solely by governmental agencies, though my experience with them has been so universally unpleasant that I have dismissed them from my mind. Just two blocks away from where I am writing today is a "tent city" shelter run by the city of Fort Lauderdale. The conditions in such places equal the most squalid of Third World country refugee centers. Phoenix and Las Vegas, which once had them, have closed their tent cities.

While I have not yet had to stay at the local Fort Lauderdale tent city, I have eaten there on several occasions. Volunteers usually bring a nutritious meal, but the conditions prevailing at the center are both unsanitary and unsafe. In six or seven visits I have seen: (1) a man emerge seriously bloodied from a knife fight; (2) two policemen, ten feet away from where I stood in a food line, pointing their revolvers at a man just arrested; (3) an actual raid on the food supply when about 15 men who saw it about to run out before they were fed attacked the table and ran off with all the edibles. At this tent city, incidentally, there are no barriers between places where men and women sleep on cots or on the ground. Last evening one of the young men at the Salvation Army commented: "Tonight is my

last night here. Tomorrow night I will have to go over to the tent city. It's nothing but an open-air crack house."

Fort Lauderdale's tent city did not exist five years ago when I spent a winter here. Apparently a permanent facility on the edge of the city will replace it next year. Meanwhile many homeless men and women are more desperate than ever and the downtown community continues to suffer from the problems that homeless people bring with them.

Several years after I first began to experience and write about homelessness, nothing has really changed. I did not set out to start a reform movement for the homeless, but I did persist for several years in trying to alter local policy here and there. It is time for me to summarize my thoughts:

The primary cause of homelessness is the decay of the American family. It took many hours of conversation years ago to make the observation that a large number of homeless men had never met their biological fathers. It was common to hear: "My dad died before I was born" or "My dad left my mother before I was born."

The categories that homeless men fit most often are: (a) fatherless; (b) convicted felon; (c) persistent delinquent; (d) veteran of the armed forces.

The categories that homeless women fall into most often are: (a) unstable family background; b) antisocial behavior; (c) mental illness. Almost every homeless center in which I have stayed has been for men only, so my observations about homeless women are not as extensive as they should be. However, I have enough experience with homeless women to make the statements in the above paragraph with some confidence.

Drug and alcohol use is epidemic among the homeless. I have heard several men less than thirty-five say they smoked marijuana for the first time with their parents.

Most homeless people who beg for money use the donated funds to purchase drugs, especially alcohol, marijuana and crack cocaine.

Homeless people are almost always a problem for the communities in which they hang out. Begging hurts local businesses as customers begin to shop in the suburbs. Homeless people also create sanitation problems.

Most centers for the homeless are equipped only to perpetuate homelessness. The other day a man at the Sal-

vation Army told me he was going back to Jacksonville where there were three shelters among which he could rotate. Consequently every night of the month he could have a bed.

Most homeless centers are church-related. Many offer regular religious programs, some of which can be quite effective. However, gospel-preaching alone does not lead to changed lives among the homeless unless the gospel-preacher is a genuine gospel-practitioner. In those centers where the Bible is thumped most heavily, I have noted a high correlation with embezzlement. The result is not conversion among the homeless but bitterness.

About 90% of homeless men with whom I have worked have been good workers. Because many use their pay to procure chemicals for their addictions, a lot of

them only work briefly at jobs. Volunteer work in centers, if justly supervised, results in improvements in behavior as homeless people see themselves having added self-worth by being of assistance to others.

Of the more than 100 homeless centers I have passed through during the past decade, I only know of one that has a record of permanently withdrawing homeless men from the streets.

The above comments are not encouraging but I am not entirely discouraged. Perhaps a problem must mature like a ripe boil before it can be healed. I once found inspiration in the life and ways of St. Francis and still do. But these are different times. We live under different circumstances and something different must be tried.

333

Marv's Speedy Rehab

Whoever said, "No Jew is ever completely read out of the Jewish community, no matter what he does," needs to be given a medal. A mere 10 months after pleading guilty to an indecent assault charge, sex predator Marv Albert was rehired by the Madison Square Garden Network. For big bucks he will anchor a nightly radio sports show and do a play-by-play of New York Knicks games. The toupeed telecaster, who gave new meaning to the term, "back-biter," after nibbling on his former girlfriend and forcing her to perform oral sex, was fired from NBC as recently as last September, the same month he resigned from MSG. Proving once again that Jews can literally do anything in this country and still be welcomed back with open arms, Dave Checketts, president and CEO of Madison Square Garden, treated Albert as if he had gone on an extended fishing trip. "Hey, Marv's family," Checketts, who really meant tribe, gushed,

I think we're going to get tremendous support. I think people are going to rally around him. How long do we have to hold some people out. . .and punish them? It's not our right. He's ready to come back.

Pardon me for mentioning this, Dave, but aren't you one of those who say, "Never forgive and never forget?" How long do we have to hold some people out? The Germans apparently forever! Punish Marv, Dave? Ten months at home

is punishment? How about members of the Klan, Dave? Do they get a chance to come back? And let's not forget Instaurationists. We're ready to come back and we didn't even bite anybody.



Back at the mike

What about me, Dave, and millions more like me who don't believe in interracial marriage and minority hegemony? Do we get to come back, too? Are we gonna get some of that "tremendous support," love and forgiveness you're overflowing with? Cause honest, Dave, all we do is feel a certain way and think a certain way, not act a certain way, certainly not like a guy who behaves like a sex-starved chimp.

But I guess all this is just tilting at windmills, isn't it, Dave? We're talking here about selective punishment and selective reward, selective forgiveness and

selective rehiring. Special privileges for special people. Forgive and forget when it suits ya, right Dave?

Marvelous Marv, by the way, had already made his comeback at the request of the NBA. He had recently narrated part of the Chicago Bulls championship video. Bear in mind he received a smattering of criticism just a few months ago following a series of interviews in which he tried desperately to assume the status of victim (sound familiar?). He lashed out repeatedly at his victim, saying she had put him through a "nightmare." Although a charge of forcible sodomy was dropped, Marv seemed almost totally unrepentant for his crimes. He admitted to a "great deal of anger" over the episode, probably because he lied his head off in court and was visibly embarrassed when the prosecution brought in incontrovertible evidence that he had done the foul deeds. This forced him to plead "guilty," a word that an egomaniac like Albert isn't at all familiar with.

Marv took a walk, as we all bloody well knew he would. In this Nation of Litigation, Jews seldom lose. Look for a sympathetic made-for-TV-movie sometime next spring, with the final shot showing Marv (played by Seinfeld?) happily back at "work" in the broadcast booth, smiling into the camera and giving the "thumbs up" sign. Special privileges for special people, eh Dave? Big guy, Marv! Welcome back already!

782

After Multiculturalism, Multinationalism

Pluralism is one of several alien doctrines imposed on nonvigilant U.S. citizens shortly after WWII. Until that time, it was taken for granted that multiculturalism was historical, constitutional and legal. New immigrants were, in some cases, even coerced to conform to the folkways and mores of the American-born population.

Sometime after WWII, the doctrine of pluralism began to take hold. We were taught that at least two cultures could co-exist in the U.S., each equally respectable and equally justified. A radical idea at the time, it was shoved down the throats of Americans by elites. Much vilification and name-calling were heaped upon those who didn't acquiesce.

There has never been a thoughtful and genuine debate in America as to whether it should be a pluralistic society.

Today, books and articles claim that pluralism is part of the Constitution, part of the "tapestry" that describes and defines what it is to be an American.

Pluralism is not to be found in the Constitution, not found in pre-WWII American history.

Pluralism inevitably mutates into multiculturalism. There is no drawing the line at the number of cultural divisions within a society. Once it has been intellectually or ideologically accepted that a social order can adapt to and afford two cultures, the demand will soon call for more cultural divisions.

Multiculturalism is governed by certain laws which allow members of self-defined groups to name themselves, develop the group's language and identify the group's needs and values—all with no reference to the culture, needs and values of the nation.

The laws of multiculturalism dictate an on-going progression in refining and defining of subgroups, as they move to multinationalism.

The push towards multinationalism is enhanced by the efforts of would-be autocrats seeking to rule an empire or an authoritarian state, instead of simply serving in public office a republican or democratic state. These would-be tyrants encourage multiculturalism and multinationalism because both are handy tools for achieving highly centralized state power. The social discord that arises from both multiculturalism and multinationalism guarantees support for a strong executive branch.

Multinationalism has taken many forms in history. It can lead to so-called ethnostates, where African Americans might form one or more countries exclusively for

themselves or where an Aztlan might be carved out of Southern California

The irony of the flowering of multiculturalism into multinationalism is that many of the advocates of multiculturalism claim to be hostile to the concepts of nationhood.

Somehow it is not felt necessary to disclose in a public forum that multinationalism assumes the existence of many nations, many borders and many identity cards.

The widespread acceptance of jury nullification is evidence of the spread of multinationalism. The uniform application of the law suffers most from the shift from monoculturalism to multinationalism.

Law is an outgrowth of culture, notwithstanding the prattlings of pundits who believe law is simply a collection of words. Only the blind can fail to see that multiculturalism and multinationalism will establish different laws for members of different cultures.

Every great empire (Roman, Ottoman, Hapsburg) featured both multiculturalism and multinationalism.

Frequently, multiculturalism and multinationalism are adopted for the express purpose of promoting egalitarianism.


Multiculturalism inevitably leads to multinationalism because the former engenders unrest, discontent and misunderstandings.

Every menacing phrase and scandalous accusation uttered by Bill Clinton towards and about European Americans ought to make them confront the ominous fact that it will be their rights which will be most quickly abrogated when multiculturalism has fully flowered into multinationalism.

European Americans must promptly and lawfully respond to the pressures of multinationalism in North America before it is too late.

The project of restoring the Republic and reinstating the inclusive Constitution continues to appeal to many European Americans, but they haven't had much success to date in understanding that the ongoing campaign of slander by the dominant media/corporate/entertainment culture has had serious negative consequences for our people.

Nothing short of a major war will reverse the ideological pressures towards multinationalism. A new monocultural society arising from a major war would not favor Eu-



ropean Americans. At a minimum, we need to build and develop structures that will serve us when multinationalism flourishes in North America. Some suggestions:

Form an anti-defamation watchdog group that reacts firmly and quickly to any vilification of European Americans, Christians, their values or their institutions.

Imagine and draft a new Mayflower Compact describing the limits and nature of the European-American community after the final destruction of the inclusive Constitution by multiculturalism and multinationalism.

Build welfare organizations for famine times when European Americans will be required to stand at the end of public bread lines.

Establish a national or continental policy-making council buttressed on and limited to a Swiss-style political system that rebuffs takeover, subversive and infiltration attempts by self-loathing European Americans.

Document and implement the framework for an educational system that will teach European-American children knowledge, skills and respect for the values and traditions of their community, instead of the self-hatred now taught them on a daily basis in and out of school.

As European Americans become conscious of the need to fit into the inevitable North American multinationalism, it is imperative that the work progress with the greatest transparency and dignity.

While the Constitution has been reviled and transformed to serve elitists' interests, we European Americans still possess the gift of establishing structures of governance through documents like the Mayflower Compact and the Declaration of Independence. No other group has ever done it better.

We just haven't figured out how to give our political structures the stability and solidity that the Swiss managed to implement in establishing the world's second oldest republic.

Maybe the next time we can get it right!

The Moneyfication of Sports

As one whose feelings about spectator sports has evolved considerably downward since my unsophisticated youth, I was pleased to see an article in U.S. News and World Report (July 13, 1998) to the effect that big-time professional sports are in financial trouble. The gist of the article, "Big League Troubles," by Dan McGraw, is that fewer and fewer fans now attend the games.

Since professional sports is a multibillion-dollar business that has a substantial impact on the financial welfare of the cities where the games are held, there is considerable concern as to why attendance is becoming ever skimpier. A possible explanation is that people are turned off by the hyper-commercialization. As McGraw writes:

Across America, more and more fans seem to be concluding that they matter less and less to the major sports leagues. Many of them are also disgusted with what they read in sports pages, which often resemble the financial section—if not a police blotter. A basketball player who says \$103 million isn't enough pay. A football player who punches his pregnant girlfriend. Hockey players who trash their dorm room after the Olympics. A baseball player who claims he can't live on \$1.6 million a year.

Beneath the disenchantment may be the subconscious realization that the sky-

high salaries are being paid to low-IQ physical freaks to play boys' games. The resentment grows as they see these players, when their careers are over, becoming drug dealers or taking mundane jobs indicative of their real economic worth.

Another reason for fans' leaving the sports arenas is the ever increasing cost of tickets. This year a family of four had to pay \$214.28 to attend an NBA game; an NFL game, \$221.17; an NHL game, \$228.39. This, according to McGraw, is about 30% of the average household's weekly earnings. Major league baseball games cost \$114.82 for one game, about 16% of the family's weekly earning. The costs cover tickets, parking, refreshments and souvenirs.

These astronomical expenses are keeping out more and more of the core group of professional sports boosters. "The middle-income and lower-income fans are being priced out of the games," says Andrew Zimbalist, professor of economics at Smith College. "Upper-income fans can afford to go to the games, but [they] tend not to be as diehard in their support as their middle- and lower-income counterparts."

What is the great attraction of these competitive games? The answer is simple. They provide a comparatively harmless, vicarious release for our aggressive instincts.

Many centuries ago this innate part of our personalities led some of our brighter ancestors to realize that continuous fighting made life much more difficult than it need be. So these more intelligent citizens pledged not to prey on one another, which made it possible to exist in relative safety. Most people prospered under this arrangement. They called it civilization.

Unfortunately beneath this veneer of civility and cooperation lurks the ever present beast of aggression, ready to spring and attack. Without wars and fighting, we were presented with another problem: boredom.

The Romans in order to control their populace came up with the most elaborate games in history. For complexity and magnitude they have never been equaled. Today's Olympics are the closest modern counterpart. Can you imagine creating a lake, putting warships on it and then having hundreds of men fight to the death merely for entertainment? It boggles the imagination!

The Romans also distributed food to the masses. Thus the expression "bread and games." Our welfare programs and TV sports fulfill the same functions. So even if major league sports are sagging, I'm sure the games will go on. Maybe if attendance gets too bad, the promoters can stage naval battles. Something like that is already going on in Las Vegas.

323

Straight Talk About Wiggers

Having returned from sabbatical after escaping, at least for a time, the increasing insanity of the minority-dominated inner cities, I was assaulted by a phenomenon which more than any other blanketed the media during the late spring and early summer.

In the peaceful rural and white areas of the country there have been several episodes of pubescent white boys taking weapons into schools and shooting their classmates and teachers. These tragic events were met with bewilderment and sadness in the white community.

In the minority community and in the media there was the obligatory shedding of crocodile tears. But behind this facade was a near jubilant and condescending tone. "See," many blacks essentially said, "we told you so. Those white devils are the real purveyors of violence and hate. We knew it all along."

Liberal groups sat in round-table discussions and made pseudo-scientific analyses about the culture of violence in white America, especially in the American South. The comments were in this vein:

Those whites are still causing trouble. We just don't understand why. After all, we crushed them over a hundred years ago in the Civil War. We burned their homes and crops, raped their women and left them a decimated and bleeding land. We subjected the survivors to years of official Reconstruction and many more decades of unofficial economic pillage. We sent in federal troops and hordes of lawyers when they foolishly resisted integration. Now you can well understand why our actions were justified.

Gun control advocates recommended disarming the people. Federal police advisors said the schools needed tighter security and more metal detectors. Various psychologists, counselors and sociologists, most displaying Dr. before their foreign-sounding last names, advocated the establishment of training camps for youngsters at risk. Removing children from the evil influence of their bigoted parents and placing them in multicultural re-education camps was a popular topic.

Many of us, including this writer, were puzzled and perplexed by these events until additional bits of important information leaked out amid the media and minority hand-wringing fest.

First one killer, then another, and another, were found to have been gangster-rap music devotees. At last a common thread was being developed. Not coincidentally as their devotion to the black, inner-city gangster lifestyle was revealed, media coverage dried-up. Ambulance-chasing lawyers and the ideologically motivated doctors

switched their yammering to something else.

So all-pervasive has the silence become that the only available source of information is the underground press. Assisted in their efforts by patriotic law enforcement professionals who, having compiled intelligence on all these cases, investigators noted one overlooked and unifying similarity. All the perpetrators, despite their myriad and diverse psychological abnormalities, were Wiggers!

What is a Wigger? For the uninitiated he is a pathetic, young, primarily adolescent white kid who adopts the dress, mannerisms and lifestyle of inner-city blacks. Children such as these generally outgrow this media-inspired form of adolescent rebellion as they mature, although evidence suggests that at least in a psychological and emotional sense such young people are subject to a slowed or retarded maturation process. Perhaps as a result of the drug usage endemic to this lifestyle, perhaps because such lifestyles are supposedly a celebration of freedom, the freedom from responsibility achieved in this sort of perpetual adolescence is palpable. As a result many never reach a state of emotional adulthood.

This is not surprising given the population group upon which they chose to model their lifestyle. Such counter-culture groups are generally lower in intelligence than even the mean of their own race. Having incredibly short time horizons and little if any ability to learn from experience, they lack the capability to defer gratification. This shorter than average time to puberty, plus higher levels of hormones are not only tied to sexual awakening but to general aggression.

Is it then any surprise that such deviant young people would also adopt the mindless violence associated with their media-promoted idols? Is it any wonder, any cause for surprise that such persons would adopt a criminal lifestyle?

The murderous delinquents in question were, or wanted to be, associated with inner-city gang culture. Paraphernalia found in their homes and statements made to police indicate how thoroughly the kids had been indoctrinated.

This inner-city gang culture is a subculture whose lifestyle is a riotous glorification of drugs, rape and violence. It is an animalistic array of predatory gangs whose highest calling in life is seemingly to land on death row. Indeed, one of the organizations (owned by a Hollywood conglomerate) that markets music and videos for these gangster-rap groups is actually incorporated under the name Death-Row Records.

One can make an increasingly good case for independent cultural development for minorities. Even knowing

full well that this culture, such as it is, will inevitably lead to a brutish and terror-ridden society. It is frankly no longer (and in hindsight probably has never been) in our best interests to try to bring civilization to the savages, to make, as it were, the proverbial silk purse out of a sow's ear.

With the era of imposed white guilt coming to an end, combined with, or perhaps necessitated by, our own very real struggle for resources and survival, altruistic but self-defeating egalitarian schemes, never economically viable, are no longer morally justified. However it is another thing altogether when we continue to allow the media to instill in our children the belief that white civilization is the root of all evil, that any culture is preferred to that of those evil racist dead white males.

The media shoulder a considerable contributing re-

sponsibility here. No matter how much the mediacrats deny it, TV brutality contributes to real world violence.

Having examined many of the hidden but clearly contributing facts behind these gruesome schoolyard murders, one is still left with the unanswered and perhaps unanswerable question. Where were the parents? Were they, like their children, so indoctrinated by politically correct propaganda that they themselves were afraid to discipline their own children, to pull them away from this minority-dominated and degenerate lifestyle? Were they afraid that any such move would be viewed as racist? Better to allow others to be killed, to allow your own children to be executed for murder, anything other than being accused of that most heinous of all modern crimes!


LAWRENCE

Forever American

Maria Jacinto, her husband and their five children living in Omaha, speak only Spanish. The señora "does not feel like an American. In fact, she seems resistant to the idea of assimilating into U.S. society." So reports William Brannigan in the *Orlando Sentinel* (Aug. 9, 1998). "I think I'm still a Mexican," Maria said. "When my skin turns white and my hair turns blond, then I'll be an American."

Maria doesn't want her children overly influenced by immoral "blondies." She sees her children adopting the worst aspects of American culture, such as the value of money over family. Ironically her U.S. citizenship stamps her as a mercenary. Maria is here to stay, yet remains wary of her new country. As a citizen, she is entitled to feel anyway she wants to. Nevertheless she remains in a country that she basically dislikes. Obviously she is solely an American for economic convenience.

The *Orlando Sentinel* article didn't say why she came to the U.S. or how she became a citizen. She may have entered the country illegally years ago and eventually received amnesty and citizenship. Such an arrangement is easier to accept than to live by stealth with the possibility, though remote, of being caught and extradited. Maria, like many Mexicans living



The countryside Maria left behind in America, is torn between the desire to provide the good life for her family, and retain her cultural identity.

Mexicans know there is no opportunity in Mexico for them and their families so they migrate to the U.S. They fondly remember and identify with their homeland as they accept the risk of being swallowed by the monstrous Anglo culture.

Mexicans want all the material benefits of living and working in the U.S., but dread being Anglicized. The millions of sullen, laboring mestizos sell themselves

to the highest bidder during the day and then retreat to their barrios. They can never become Americans. Too much negative history, distrust and resentment prevent them from surrendering to the Anglo colossus. Even with the help and promotion of the federal government and its judicial minions, the Mexican jihad was derailed by California's Proposition 227. Now brown-skinned children sit dazed and confused as their teachers try to teach them their lessons in English. Parents are stressed out because they lack the skills to help their children with the English homework.

Pre-kindergarten students in China are happy to be learning English on

Saturday mornings because their parents believe the language must be mastered in order to be successful in any modern occupation. Mexicans living in the U.S. and their legal defense lawyers are trying to reverse Proposition 227 so the children will not have to learn English. The Chinese believe English to be essential. Most Mexicans consider it a hardship forced on them by racists.

Hitler and Today's Majority

The recent article on Hitler by Peter Lorden ("Wolfman!" 1998) has, if nothing else, served to remind us that the Führer remains a controversial figure among Instaurationists. Those may sound like weasel words, but it's about as politic a statement as one can make about Adolf Hitler—and us—today.

Interestingly the explicit criticisms of Hitler by Lorden, as well as by less extravagant critics, seem to contain an unstated reproach. As one reads what a murderous brute Hitler was, one detects the unstated sentiment: If partisans of our race could only wash ourselves clean of the stain of Hitler and Nazism, certain opponents of the Majority's fortunes (including its continued existence) would rally to our cause.

There's no question as to who this opposition is. It's not the blacks (who are largely unmoved by Hitler) or the Latinos (many of whom artlessly admire his macho deportment) or the Asians or the Arabs or the Eskimos. It is the Jews that Hitler-haters among the Majority hope to court.

One might believe the American Majority had done more than its share against Hitler, enough at least to merit a little understanding from the Chosen. Considering all the flying limbs and gushing entrails the overwhelmingly non-Jewish U.S. combat troops suffered in WWII, did the recently ballyhooed Spielberg film, *Saving Private Ryan*, express the slightest sense of Jewish gratitude to Gentiles?

For the Jews, instinct, as well as calculation, governs. To Jews, Gentiles are a collective Dr. Strangelove. Just as the recalcitrant right arm of a demented Nazi scientist, even while in the employ of the good old U.S.A., could never quite be cured of its propensity to snap into the Hitler salute at the most inopportune times, so is the American Majority not to be trusted—Hitler or no Hitler.

We may posture as we will against Hitler the tyrant, Hitler the aggressor, Hitler the madman, Hitler the sadist, Hitler the pagan, Hitler the atheist, Hitler the devil incarnate. We may accuse Hitler as we will of wrecking Germany, of bringing down the British Empire, of dooming Western civilization and the white race. We may chide as we will Hitler for killing too many Jews, for enabling Stalin's victory, for the decline of eugenics and the emergence of the Volkswagen Beetle. None of this will have the slightest effect on the organized, disciplined efforts of the Jewish collective genius to turn America into a North American Brazil, in which the Majority is at first just a minority and in the end merely a memory.

Hitler acolytes in postwar America have illustrated the difficulty of making practical propaganda and politics out of the Führer's legacy. They have fallen generally into two types. The first, the "in your face" adulators of Adolf, have

played up to the popular image of Hitler and his Nazis: uniforms, armbands, the promise of gas chambers for their enemies. George Lincoln Rockwell and his successors and imitators soon found themselves, understandably, tarred with the worst of the propaganda image, while their street forays increasingly left America's homegrown Nazis cowering behind police lines in the face of minority mobs.

The second type of Hitlerite has tended to carry admiration to veneration and even outright worship. There is in these attitudes, particularly among the unabashedly religious, a certain sense of resignation. While Adolf's adorers aren't exactly expecting him to return from whatever equivalent of Barbarossa's Kyffhaeuserberg where the Führer might yet linger, neither do these enthusiasts seem to be setting much stock in the imminent victory of a post-Hitler National Socialist-style movement in America or anywhere else.

Even the worldlier Hitlerphiles seem to confine their efforts more to conjuring up visions of the serried ranks of SA and SS on the Zeppelinwiese or to fantasy plotting of the crusade against Bolshevism from the Wolfsschanze than to studying Hitler on anything so prosaic as how to give a speech or to design a handbill. As for translating Hitler's system of ideas from its milieu of the Central Europe of more than half a century ago to fit the needs of the American Majority today, the Führer's American adepts have accomplished very little.

What's a full-blooded American Majority racist to do? Rather than consigning Hitler to oblivion, like some dotty old great-uncle under a remote gable in the sprawling Aryan mansion, for some eternally elusive advantage, or attempting to ape or idolize him, the key to obtaining a useful Hitler is objectivizing him, historicizing him.

Doing that involves starting from the facts, not the accusations or the (more difficult of access) hagiologies. One needn't muddle through all the awful trivia about the Führer's circle or his origins or his end—learning the applicable history of modern Europe, above all that of Germany and the other powers is far more important to understanding Hitler.

An able student of the Führer will soon grasp that much of Hitler's extraordinary appeal (even though for most members of the Majority, it is a negative appeal) stems from Hitler's uniqueness and inimitability. As in the case of Napoleon, Hitler's imitators' effectiveness seems to have been in inverse relation to their fervor.

One must recognize that Hitler's ideas fell on far more fertile ground in the Germany of the 1930s than our racial prescriptions do in present-day America. The truth is, a large majority of the German people, including a solid

core of its most able thinkers and leaders, already agreed with him.

The aim and the challenge for today's Majority students of Hitler is—for all his flaws and mistakes—to appreciate and some day, if possible, to help emulate his immense achievements. After all is said and done, Hitler unleashed the vital energies of the Germans, harnessed

those for work and war, and built a solid program for racial betterment. Fantasizing runs against this column's grain, but I wonder whether Hitler's sternest critics among us might wish, now and then, that just one American president among the past 20 or so had had a bit of Adolf Hitler in him.

MORIARTY

New Black Standards in Ads

Wealthier. The "new black" is well dressed and should be lounging on expensive suede furniture. While the luxurious clothes, cars and furniture have been earned, he still should "hang" like a brother.

Smarter. New black advertising picks up where salt 'n' pepper buddy films left the audience desperate to see more gifted blacks patiently explaining the nuances of modern living to slow-witted whites. It is essential to avoid stereotyped, "street savvy" Negroes.

Much More Authoritative. Wherever possible the new black should appear, however briefly, as pediatrician, paleo-anthropologist or, with smoking test tube in hand, staring speculatively into the distance. (Note: mouth should be closed.) The sponsor may wish to resort to a voice-over here, since white audiences remain stubbornly resistant to hip-hop talk from their professional betters.

Sexier. The new black has it all, and has achieved it all on the basis of demonstrable merit. This is a successful person! But every ad must also emphasize that in addition to being rich, attractive, desirable, he is quite clearly sexually active. This sexual primacy should be contrasted wherever possible with inept, nerdy and uptight white males. (Attractive white females drooling over blacks may be utilized to underscore this theme.)

More Sophisticated. White readers, listeners and viewers respond with delight each time the new black employs "nine-dollar words." Contrast this high level of

speech with the inarticulateness of average whites.

Better Adjusted. It is critically important to remember that while the new black has it all, there should be a playful and mischievous nod to outmoded, racist perceptions that blacks were at one time

erous enough to include whites in his meritorious world, even as it becomes painfully obvious he is casting pearls before swine.

Program directors and advertisers are already well aware that these new black standards are unlikely to meet with serious resistance. Where the new black has not been (literally) embraced by whites, the latter know enough to keep their misgivings to themselves.

As recently as 40 years ago, Negroes were safely contained behind the color bar. Then a few musicians and athletes managed to paw their way through, paving the way for generations of Negro "geniuses" to follow (think Maya Angelou).


Without a Jackie Robinson or Satchmo, we would have been forever denied a succession of Negro-celeb role models, as personified by Marion Barry, Don King, Tupac Shakur, Bitin' Mike Tyson, Dennis Rodman, O.J. and M.L. King. The disproportionate number of successful blacks involved in criminal/violent extramural activities must be attributed to a hostile

white environment which conspires to exclude the Negro from white "club" concepts like noblesse oblige.

Would Instauration consider a tribute to the minority personality who has been most generous in efforts to bring the stench of the ghetto, barrio, or shtetl to mainstream noses in the last year? No, I suppose not. It must be a stupefying task to select a single white renegade from this crowd.

E pluribus no one.


J.B.



The "new black" rescues the white victim of a car crash (from a Shell ad)

considered somehow "slow" or "criminal." The successful black scientist, computer genius or merchant banker will tacitly acknowledge this, but always with good-humored forbearance. As he finesses complex negotiations, he might wear an impeccable Armani suit with a "Black is Beautiful" T-shirt.)

More Tolerant. The new black is cognizant of his innate superiority, but at the same time exhibits a chivalrous tolerance for the less gifted and an altruistic willingness to help the less fortunate. He is gen-



Lorden Is Damned With Faint Praise

In his "Wolfman!" article (July 1998) Peter Lorden undoubtedly has the main facts right. However, like many amateurs, he has the habit of confusing valid with invalid sources. Once again he uses the forged quote on the alleged similarity between Bolshevism and Nazism from Hermann Rauschning's *The Voice of Destruction*. Allegedly a student of the facts, Lorden repeats the fiction that Stalin was rendered incapacitated when German tanks attacked on June 22, 1941. Actual Soviet military discussions following June 22 involved how to go forward with their own attack plans in spite of the Germans getting there first. This was the reason the Germans were able to encircle and capture so many Soviet soldiers. Stalin, the "incapacitated," was present at all these discussions.

In a previous essay, Lorden quoted a famous forgery, Hitler's alleged speech to his generals on August 22, 1939, otherwise known as Nuremberg document L-003. This alleged instruction to liquidate the Poles was fed to Associated Press journalist Louis Lochner by the anti-Nazi opposition. (See *Nuremberg! The Last Battle* by David Irving, p. 100.) Lorden asks us to believe that Hitler was a "Wolfman." Yet as Irving has pointed out, when news of shooting Jews was brought to Der Führer's attention he routinely ordered it to be stopped. He also specifically ordered (according to Hans Lammerer) that the Final Solution of the Jewish problem was to be delayed till the war's conclusion. This key piece of evidence was suppressed by Robert Kempner at Nuremberg.

I have no desire to single out Lorden for condemnation. Like many mainstream academics, he falls victim to tainted evidence. But if he wishes to write history, he must learn the facts not the fables. His refutation of my point regarding the failure of German Jews to distance themselves from the terror of the Jewish Bolsheviks is feeble. Well-meaning Mr. Warburg to the contrary, there was no organized protest by German Jews (or any Jews) in 1919 or afterwards to acknowledge Jewish responsibility for communism or to condemn it. The Germans drew the justified conclusion.

Lorden is entirely correct about Jewish responsibility for the U.S.S.R. The question of whether Jewish Communists were real or "religious" Jews begs the question. They were universally recognized as Jews by their fellows in the West and their ignoble Soviet murder machine was praised to the skies in the New York press.

I guess we all have our blind spots, but Lorden has a gift for invidious comparisons. Comparing Auschwitz with Lubyanka is really something. Heinrich Himmler issued a documented order to reduce the death rate in the German camps at all costs. I don't believe any such order was ever issued in the Gulag. As to Hitler admiring Stalin, that is true. But Hitler also admired the British Empire. Stalin was not quite so helpful to the Germans as Lorden imagines. Molotov in November 1940 made outrageous demands unbecoming a sincere ally. I doubt that German anti-partisan operations had the effect Lorden contends. After all, the partisans came first, the reprisals second. The undoubted failure of Germany's propaganda in the East was more probably related to (1) German failure to promise independence to the Balts and Ukrainians, and (2) the mass starvation caused by Soviet scorched-earth warfare which was unfairly blamed on the occupying Germans. No one, least of all the peasants, was shedding a tear over detested Jews meeting a well-deserved fate.

Lorden's writings might be described as semi-revisionist. He

has uncovered the damning facts about Jewish control of the U.S.S.R., while continuing to subscribe to wartime legends about Germany. Do I detect an Anglophile anti-Communist here?

472

Hitler's Not All Bad

Re Peter Lorden's "Wolfman!" article, is this meant as a joke? Or is the editor losing his marbles? Absolute power corrupts absolutely, but Hitler showed remarkable restraint in the most stressful circumstances. Ninety-eight percent of Allied prisoners came home alive, whereas only 42% survived Japanese POW camps. I abhor the execution of several dozen escaped British prisoners, but Hitler refrained from using any of the 250,000 tons of nerve gas he had available and which could have been employed very effectively.

As for the alleged film Hitler had Goebbels make of the failed bomb plotters writhing on meat hooks, have you or anyone you know ever seen it? If it were really available, it would have been a priceless addition to the Allied and Jewish projection of Hitler as the greatest monster of WWII.

115

Wolfman Reconsidered

How gracious of Mr. Peter J. Lorden, in July 1998's *Instauration*, to give us a portrait of Adolf the Great which allows him and his many friends to justify America's genocidal bombing of German cities and the killing of 9 million Germans after (repeat after) WWII (see James Bacque's *Crimes and Mercies*).

We note that Mr. Lorden quietly passes over the indispensable role of the Jews in imposing their ghastly Communist system on Russia, as well as the shameful megalomania of American rulers.

Lorden makes much of the ruthlessness of the Schutzstaffel in Poland, a part of which had been ruthlessly carved out of German territory 20 years earlier by the vindictive victors of WWI. He somehow omits this little fact.


Well, Mr. Lorden, we know all about Der Führer's errors. But in the end, history recognizes only a single error. He lost. As a result, the planet is now in a demographic tailspin from which it is unlikely to recover. Too many Christianity-driven whites like you are interested in finding guilt among our kind with which to deepen our spiritual coma and destroy our sense of self-preservation. Despite his fatal missteps, the Leader's heart was in the right place.

It is long past the time when people such as Mr. Lorden should have stopped producing simplistic "analyses" of their favorite *bête noire* (as prescribed by their string-pullers) and begun looking at the whole, not just a part, of history and evolution. In particular, in this light they should inspect the shameful role of the U.S. in two World Wars and the interminable, sanctimonious and disgusting self-justification that went with it.

O'REGAN

Disgruntled Subscriber

For the first time since I've been subscribing (since inception) to *Instauration* I am really angered by some of its contents. I refer to "Wolfman!" Who is Peter J. Lorden? And why has *Instauration* stooped to print such slanderous drivel, false propaganda and outright lies? If one wants to read about some real war crimes, cruelty to helpless victims, megalomaniacal designs on ruling the



earth, then read about the U.S. and its allies.

The belief by "most Germans" that Jewish financiers were behind Germany's sufferings during and after WWI, was based on fact!

Is Instauration now taking over for Morris Dees, the ADL, JDL, the controlled media and the criminals in Washington who sing the praises of Israel and the long suffering Jew? If so, I will not re-subscribe.

I was born during WWII and had men in my family killed and wounded in U.S. fighting in Europe. Naturally, I was taught in school to hate anything German. It was only after I began to do my own research and talked with people who lived in France during the German occupation that I learned to regard Adolf Hitler with a measure of great respect. I consider him one of the very few truly great men of history. The people richly deserving of exposure and condemnation are Roosevelt, Churchill, Stalin and the Jewish-Communist-Zionist network of evil, corrupt, power-crazed savages.

294

German Veteran Speaks Up

I can't quite agree with Peter Lorden's "Wolfman!" Though most of his statements may be right, we have to consider that the German generals (after the war) had to do a little black-and-white painting of their own. I served in the German army in Russia in 1943-44. The contacts we had with the Waffen-SS were normal and cordial, like the U.S. Marines have with the U.S. Army. I am not so sure about General Manstein's assessment that "The Final Solution was a masterpiece of concealment." His book was probably written soon after the war, when Manstein heard about the Final Solution in the prevailing blasts of propaganda.

As an occupying army, the German forces were always on the defensive against terror attacks. Any law-abiding citizen who went about his own business had nothing to fear. The only people tortured were partisans who refused to sing. If one was captured, the army or Gestapo naturally wanted to know where his companions were hiding and when they were planning yet another attack.

Summary justice is always wrong. Even in wartime. The Einsatzgruppen shot anti-German activists in the partisan-infested areas of Poland. Had the partisans not blown up vital rail supply lines, no Einsatzgruppen would have been needed. Presumably the Allies could have won the war without the partisans. If the Allies had agreed on a cease-fire, food supplies would have reached the concentration camps. But the aim of the Allied forces was to put the German leaders in the most difficult position possible.

Canadian Subscriber

Still Another Swipe at Lorden

The mendacious scribbblings of Peter Lorden ("Empire of Evil," August 1998) disgrace the pages of Instauration. Is he a fifth columnist trying to give credence to the Holocaust? Hitler stated that his National Socialism cadre must be like the Bolsheviks tactically, until they held the reins of power. That is to say they should utilize very small, highly disciplined, ruthlessly militant cells for operations and communications. It is the only way to survive against a power system bent on your destruction. By the way, Hitler was democratically voted into the chancellery by a significant majority of Germans. Did Stalin ever win an honest election?

Does Lorden think Instaurationists are similar to the masses of asses in this demographic monstrosity called a nation? Just because he affirms the Communist Holocaust, the real Holocaust, does not exonerate him from degrading the one man and movement that could have saved our race from oblivion. Consign Lorden to writing for American Heritage of any of the other politically correct kosher fishwraps that purport to stand for "Western values." Keep him from defiling Instauration.

089

German Hater

Peter Lorden tips his hand when he refers to the German Shepherd as "Alsatian." This misnomer gained currency after WWI with the visceral haters who choked on "German." Lorden is not only rabidly anti-Hitler, his fanciful writing shows him to suffer from Germanophobia. Glad he's out of the closet.

Canadian Subscriber

Stupid Pol

With regard to my former Congressman, Robert K. Dornan (July 1998, p. 18), he was in favor of open borders. Let 'em all come in! Well, they all came in, right into his district and voted him out in favor of Señora Sanchez. This conservative fool still doesn't seem to understand what happened to him!

926

Wagner Connection Omitted

Peter Lorden's article on Hitler, "Wolfman," presented a Lon Chaney-like Hitler. Quotes from Gerald Fleming and a genocidal Hitler howling at the moon are not the type of material I expect to see in Instauration. The "Wolf" story is interesting, but it is odd that Lorden did not cite the exchange between Hitler and his secretary, who questioned him (David Irving's *Hitler's War*, p. 383): "Why Wolf again—just like the other headquarters?" Hitler replied, "That was my code name in the Years of Struggle." To grasp the meaning of "Wolf" for Hitler, one must turn to Richard Wagner's *Die Walküre*, Act I, in which Siegmund declares:

Wolfe, der war mein Vater. . .
Wehrlich und stark war Wolfe;
der Feinde wuchsen ihm viel. . .
Geachtet floh
Der Alte mit mir;
lange Jahre
lebte der Junge
mit Wolfe im wilden Wald:
manche Jagd
ward auf sie gemacht;
doch mutig wehrte
das Wolfspaar sich.

Wolf was my father. . .
Warlike and strong was Wolf
many enemies he found. . .
The old man fled
into exile with me;
for long years
the boy lived
with Wolf deep in the forest:
often they were hunted
by their foes;
but the Wolf-pair stoutly
defended themselves.

Neglecting the Wagner connection in Hitler's use of this "code name" renders all proper understanding of the subject impossible.

088

Vive Buchanan!

After reading *The Great Betrayal*, I am convinced that Buchanan is our man. He is an economic nationalist with the right agenda—restrictive immigration, protective tariffs and anti-affirmative action. He has countrywide recognition, having run twice before for president. I strongly suggest that Majority members make his Republican presidential nomination the number one priority on their agenda. Every town, village and city deserves a Buchanan for President Club. If elected, Pat would reverse the decline of America!

334



Down the Memory Hole

The Sixth, the all but forgotten Amendment, begins: "In all criminal prosecution, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial. . . ." How is it then, despite four trials which ended in hung juries, 73-year-old Sam Bowers, a onetime KKK leader, was arrested and tried for the fifth time for an alleged murder committed some 32 years ago? This jury being 50% minorityite, he was pronounced guilty and given a life sentence. Apparently judges don't read the Constitution any more. What about those rights to a speedy trial? Is 32 years speedy?




Life for Bowers

Another forgotten part of the Bill of Rights is Amendment V: "[N]or should any person be subject to the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life and limb. As a matter of fact, double jeopardy is becoming a prop of the legal system. Remember what happened to the white cops who "restrained" Rodney King. First they were cleared by a white jury, then in order to guarantee their conviction the second trial, the double jeopardy trial, was moved to central Los Angeles where a jury packed with blacks sent the officers to jail.

Witch Doctor Celebrated

An exhibition devoted to Sigmund Freud opened in October in the Library of Congress. Now that most psychiatrists acknowledge that much of Freudian psy-



Granddaughter no dedicated disciple

chotherapy amounts to pure drivel, who would have thought that the Library of Congress would go out of its way to honor him. Ironically one of the loudest critics of the exhibit is Freud's granddaugh-

ter, Sophie Freud, who claims the Library has been far too favorable to her grandfather's ideas.

Mental Saturation

Holocaust Books for Children



Seattle Public Library

The Seattle Public Library is getting in tune with the times. It is exhibiting a special collection of Holocaust books for children: 27 fiction titles, 23 nonfiction. The idea is to grab minds when they're young and fill them so full of Holocaust propaganda they will never question it and never cease to repeat it.

Arabs in Politics

Much to the grief of the B'nai B'rith, ten Arab Americans will be running for seats in the House of Representatives in the upcoming November elections. Six are incumbents. Ten against 425 is not very good odds. But it's a start

Anti-Semitic Queer

How can one describe Gore Vidal, who last we heard was a patient in a Naples (Italy) hospital being treated for rectal bleeding? After reading a letter of his to the Nation, it's difficult not to call him a "righteous homo" or a "righteous anti-Semite." In his defense of Palestinians against the land-grabbing Zionists, Gore quoted from the Mishneh Torah, a Hebrew gospel:

If a Jew has coitus with a Gentile woman, whether she be a child of three or an adult, whether married or unmarried, and even if he is a minor aged only nine years and one day—because he had willful coitus with her, she must be killed, as is the case with a beast because through her a Jew got into trouble.

Touchy-Feely Jemima

I was standing in line at a counter in San Francisco, a colored woman in front of me. When she reached the counter, she inadvertently knocked off a pad and pencil. She made no attempt to pick them up. When I gently tapped her on the shoulder with my index finger, she turned towards me. This dialogue ensued:

Colored Woman. Huh?

Me. You knocked the pad and pencil off the counter. Don't you intend to pick them up?

C.W. You teched me!

Me. How else was I to get your attention? (I stooped to retrieve the fallen articles.)

C.W. You teched me. Nobody tech me.

Me. Mercy! It must have been an irresistible impulse, and I shall go to my grave worrying about it! I assure you I'll fret through all eternity!


C.W. You got no manners.

Me. Noooooo—not quite true. Everybody has manners. It's just that mine are better than yours.

950

Nonagenarian Poofster

One of America's most curious homos is Philip Johnson, a millionaire architect who began life as a Nazi sympathizer and fell head over heels in love with the Third Reich. He explained he was partic-



Switcheroo Johnson

ularly attached to the strappingly handsome members of Hitler's elite guard. For having such strange tastes Johnson, after being drafted, spent much of WWII cleaning latrines in a military base near Washington. After he acquired a degree in architecture at Harvard, he designed and built that totally impractical glass house in Connecticut, a publicity gimmick that impressed two rich ladies, a Bronfman and a Rockefeller. How easy it is for a queer to switch from anti- to pro-Semitism. It is not so easy for a straight. Now 92, Johnson has obtained a job for which he is extraordinarily qualified—designing an ugly \$20-million Cathedral of Hope for the fairyhood.

Unwarranted Optimism

The analog of naiveté was played out not long ago in an interesting conversation I had with the blonde daughter of a prominent South African banker. Eighteen years old, pert and loaded with the genes an In-

Cultural Catacombs




stitutionist would die for, this eminently approachable white soul sister had ideas about the future of her country that could well explain why some white South Africans still believe that there remains a future for whites in a land dominated by blacks. Though the young lady thinks pretty much as we do about the relative merits of blacks and whites, she believes that come what may blacks will need whites to administer the South African economy. "They know they cannot do it without us," she enthused. "They will always have to keep us so long as they want to drink pure water."

I.H.

Icon in the Offing

Now that the flack about Tiger Woods is cooling down—he only won one tournament in the last 12 months—sports writers are looking for a new icon, a minority



Jewish swimming champ

icon, if possible a Jewish icon. They may have found what they are looking for in Lenny Krayzelburg, a Jew who arrived on these shores from Ukraine when he was 13.

In last summer's Goodwill Games in New York Lenny won two medals, one gold, one silver, for winning the 200 and 100 meter backstroke.

Though it may be jumping the gun, Jews are already comparing him to their hallowed Mark Spitz. As his photo shows, he is one of those rare Nordic-looking Jews.

Self-Destructionists

U.S. District Judge Barbara Rothstein has entered the legal fray that is hobbling a new INS procedure to accelerate the denaturalization of thousands of immigrants suspected of obtaining citizenship with the help of false papers. Rothstein's order effectively puts the entire process on hold, which means that thousands of criminal gate-crashers will escape deportation for years to come, if not forever.

Judge Rothstein, like that other Jewish judge, Mariana Pfaelzer, who overthrew California initiative 187 intended to crack down on public services for illegal immigrants, knows very well that the ongoing immigrant influx is likely to destroy the state and put the lives of all judges or their descendants at risk. Yet Jews go on striking down one American institution after another and are at the financiers of almost every revolutionary movement. Wherever you find attempts to corrupt Western culture, you will find the Chosen.

Why are Jews willing to let their politics and behavior endanger their own well-being and existence? It's in their genes. It's a trait that has lifted them to the heights and lowered them to the depths throughout history. They are driv-

en by an age-old genetically based dislike and envy of non-Jews, especially whites, especially whites of Northern European background. (Jews consider themselves a special breed of white that ranks them far above the ordinary white.)

Agitation is in the Jews' blood. They will keep rubbing us the wrong way until they are forced to repeat another of their traditional exoduses. History tells us time and time again if we want peace in the West it helps to have no Jews in the West.

Is He or Isn't He?


The new movie, *The Mask of Zorro*, has given rise to remarks that the hero is a Chosenite. The co-producer, John Gertz, when asked about this, said that Zorro was definitely a Jew, as demonstrated by his many Jewish traits. Gertz's wife, on the other hand, who has written several novels about Zorro, says *nein*. She points out that his creator was an Irish American.

Billboard Wars

As mentioned in last month's *Instauration*, two billboards were erected in the Southwest in July. One was the work of an anti-immigration group on the California-Arizona border (see below).



The other billboard was erected by a fiery Hispanic group (see below).



Today, as far as we know, only one of these two billboards remains, the Hispanic creation. The one put up by the anti-immigrationists was taken down after Mario Obledo, a leading Hispanic bigwig and a previous recipient of the Medal of Freedom from Clinton, said he would personally go and burn it down if it was still there by a date certain. Exhibiting their typical lack of backbone the white billboard owners shouted excuses and took it down. The Latino billboard still stands. The victory of the nonwhites is just one more inkling of the growing power of Latinos in California. God help white Californians when Latinos become the majority population group, as they are scheduled to become in the middle of the 21st century.

Sorry Crowd

Is there any black or Hispanic high government official who has clean hands? Black Mike Espy's black chief of staff has just been handed 27 months in jail for making false statements. Espy, the erstwhile Secretary of Agriculture, is scheduled to be tried for taking financial and other sweeteners from Tyson Foods. If he had lived, black Ron Brown, the late Secretary of Commerce, would almost certainly have been put in the dock for charging \$50,000 per seat on his trade missions. The current Secretary of Labor, black Alexis Herman, has been accused of entering into dubious business arrangements with a clownish African playboy from Cameroon. The former Secretary of HUD, Latino Henry Cisneros, facing an 18-count indictment, goes to trial in November.

Meanwhile, Janet Reno ordered a probe of the fundraising activities of Bruce Babbitt, the current Secretary of the Interior. Forget Monica Lewinsky. Forget all the "gates." What can be said about a president who surrounds himself with such political operatives?

Long-Term Liar

Yet another hoax has been de-hoaxed, this one 35 years after it was perpetrated. James Hood, the first black to foist himself on the University of Alabama, practically made a living out of telling how Ku Kluxers once burned his uncle alive. Last May, when the press started poking into his past, Hood, suddenly deciding to come clean, confessed he had been lying through his teeth all along. No flames had ever scorched his uncle. Hood's and another Negro's attempt to crash the University of Alabama provoked George Wallace's famous "segregation forever" speech.

Two Freaknics

It was billed as a friendly family reunion, said the organizers, which would attract at the most some 200 blacks to Schiller Park in Chicago. When darkness approached and it became apparent that the crowd was at least 10,000 strong, the police stepped in and ordered everyone to disperse. Many refused and started showering the police with rocks. Soon the evil-smelling perfume of tear gas and mace wafted over the so-called picknickers. Three police officers were wounded, one young man was shot in the arm. The next

morning a large parking lot was so littered with cans, bottles, papers and scraps of food, it resembled a giant garbage dump.

One of the traits that separate whites dramatically from blacks is the Negroes' innate inability (refusal) to clean up the messes they make. There are slobs in every race, but the slobbishness of blacks is beyond comprehension. A glaring example of this Negro trait is evidenced in the black celebrations known as "Freaknics," berserkish events that started in Atlanta and are now spreading to other urban conglomerations. In Atlanta the yearly cost to the city is millions of dollars, plus a noticeable spike in the crime rate. The Chicago mini riot was described by local police as a Freaknic.

A so-called Million Youth March that took place in New York over Labor Day weekend was another Freaknic disturbance. Organizers predicted a million people could be expected. Only 15,000 or so showed up, along with 3,000 cops. The demonstration began rather peacefully, until Khallid Muhammed, a Negro firebrand, started warming up the crowd with antiwhite agitprop. It wasn't long before rocks began to fly.

Unfilial Daughter

Reeve Lindbergh, the second daughter and youngest child of the late Charles Lindbergh, has a long article in the New Yorker (Aug. 24-31). In it she discusses her father's opposition to American entanglement in WWII. One excerpt:

[W]hen I got to Radcliffe, in 1963, I found out that [my father's solo flight to Paris was] much less interesting to other students than my father's prewar activities were. Some of my friends confessed that they were surprised to find out that they liked me, considering that my father was a Fascist.

Reeve claims that she was "devastated" when she came across the famous admonishing words of her father in his 1941 Des Moines speech, in which he attacked the warmongering conspiracy between the British, the Jews and the Roosevelt administration. Apparently Reeve did not have a clue that in 1941, especially after the outbreak of the war between Germany and the U.S.S.R., the vast majority of Americans (around 85%) wanted no involvement in a war to make the world safe for Stalin. In 1941 her father was ad-

ressing huge and enthusiastic audiences.

If Lindbergh's attempts to thwart FDR's efforts to get us in a bloody conflict in Europe had been successful, we might have been spared nearly a half-century of a dangerous and costly "cold war."

741

Susan's Rabbi

Susan McDougal spent a long time in jail for refusing to appear before Starr's grand jury. She may spend more time for allegedly stealing Zubin Mehta, the renowned orchestra leader, blind. Whatever her fate, Susan now has a counselor, Rabbi Martin Ryback, who reputedly told her, "It's okay to hate a little." The 80-year-old rabbi reported that his "client" is immersing herself in Jewish studies. Is this for real or is it Susan's repetition of the Nixon ploy of making Kissinger Secretary of State in order to take some of the heat off Watergate?

All this doesn't necessarily mean that Susan is going to convert, but it does mean, says the rabbi, that she is getting a bracing dose of *Yiddishkeit*. In her new trial for embezzlement, she came up with a cock-and-bull story that she took the money at the urging of Mehta's wife, who didn't want it to go to his illegitimate children.

844

Hot and Lukewarm Senators

Senators who have advanced the cause of Israel the most: Alfonse D'Amato (R-NY); Mike DeWine (R-OH); Bob Graham (D-FL); Charles Grassley (R-IA); Jon Kyl (R-AZ); Frank Lautenberg (D-NJ).

Senators who have advanced the cause of Israel least: Jeff Bingaman (D-NM); Dale Bumpers (D-AR); Robert Byrd (D-WV); John Chafee (R-RI); James Jeffords (R-VT); Patrick Leahy (D-VT).

Starr-Crossed Probe

Why does Clinton and his Jewish Mafia hate Starr so avidly? They not only dislike his exasperating nuts-and-bolts search for the truth; they also go after him for his background, for being the son of a small-town conservative minister who preached the word of God in Texas, for never smoking, drinking or cursing, and for selling Bibles to help pay his college tuition. Starr does, however, share one habit with Clinton. Weather permitting, he jogs almost every morning, in the middle of which he will stop, sing a hymn and pray. When it comes to Jews, Clinton had a Jewish mistress, whereas Starr has a Jewish wife.

558



Because of threats of violence against postal workers, mail service has been canceled in some L.A., Atlanta and Chicago neighborhoods. In the District of Columbia one Asian postman was shot dead while he was eating his lunch in his delivery truck.

Negro **Michael Wells** worked for two months for a Tucson nursing home, then dropped out of sight until he was arrested for raping a crippled, deaf, 5'1", 85-lb. neighbor (race unspecified). The 62-year-old woman suffered cracked ribs and "severe vaginal injuries."

Clinton's draft dodging saved him from serving one day in the Armed Forces. His Secretary of Defense, **William Cohen**, escaped the draft by first having a student then a marital deferment. Needless to say **Madeleine Albright**, Secretary of State, never wore a uniform. National Security Adviser **Sandy Berger's** *Who's Who* entry mentions no stint of service in the Army, Air Force or Navy. Neither did Treasury Secretary **Robert Rubin's** résumé. Somehow the personal pacifism of these bigwigs did not prevent them from sending U.S. troops to die in the four corners of the world.

A South Carolina lesbian, **Regan Wolf**, paid \$50 to a friend to beat her with a leather strap. She told the police it was a hate crime because her assailant, while tying her up, called her a "faggot." The staged event was meant to stir up public support for new hate crime legislation. Police believe a second attack on Wolf, which included an anti-homosexual slur, was also faked.

In a legal fight to get gangster **John Gotti** out of jail, his lawyer explained that his client was not a bona fide mobster because his mother was half-Jewish. Since the classical Mafia member had to be Italian on both sides, if Gotti's attorney is correct, the Cosa Nostra's genealogical rules are being relaxed.


Although he supposedly made up most of his famous 1963 "dream speech" as he went along, the money-grubbing **Martin Luther King Jr. family** has tried to copy-right it. The judge said no way.

Florida State Senator Alberto Gutman, a Cuban-born Republican, may have to wear an electronic ankle bracelet during his reelection campaign. He is charged

with 32 counts of election fraud, witness tampering and money laundering. Paradoxically and ironically, Gutman (a Jewish name?) is a member of the Florida Senate's Criminal Justice Committee.

They gave **Ronnie Hawkins**, a recidivist criminal, a jolt of 50,000 volts with a stun gun when he was acting up during a sentencing hearing in Long Beach (CA). Furious, Haley is now suing the judge and the sheriff's department for \$50 million.

Nathaniel Toomer, a computer science teacher at Ponce de Leon Middle School in south Florida, was jailed for having



Pervert jailed

oral and regular sex with a 14-year-old female student (race not publicized). The criminal, as proved by a photo, was definitely a Negro. Imagine letting a creep like Toomer loose in a classroom full of young girls, especially young white girls.

Arizonan **Jacqueline Catiri**, 38 and white, had a 20-year-old **Negro toy boy** who helped her rid herself of an unwanted husband by having him beaten to death. Catiri separated from her spouse of 20 years last January. She and her boyfriend paid a **Negro** roustabout \$10,000 to commit the murder.

After she lost her second try for a seat on the Scottsdale (AZ) City Council, **Hannah Goldstein** went on a shoplifting spree at a warehouse store. She was caught sneaking away with a pocket tape recorder, a pair of expensive pliers and some other items. At the time, she had more than \$100 in her purse.

A judge ordered **Joseph Jett** to repay the \$8.2 million he received in bonuses from Kidder Peabody. The judge also fined him \$200,000 and barred him from

the securities business. A Harvard grad, Jett blamed part of his predicament, perhaps most of it, on racism. For a while he was Wall Street's highest-flying black. His massive embezzlement forced Kidder Peabody to shut down and merge with another firm.

Speaking of Negroes, **Robert Grey Jr.** was named chairman of the American Bar Association's House of Delegates. Affirmative action demanded that sooner or later a black be chosen for the ABA's most important position.

The loudest mouthed Chosenite in Congress is **Barney Frank**. He recently split with his male paramour, **Herb Moses**, who retired from the mortgage business to open a pottery shop. Not long ago a prostitution ring operated from the basement of Barney Frank's Washington house.

In Eau Claire (WI) **Lee Vang**, 13, stuffed toilet paper in her newborn baby's mouth, concealed his body in two plastic bags and dumped the little corpse in a garbage can in a YMCA bathroom.

A veteran check bouncer, **Paul Kurtz**, though not a lawyer, dabbled in the law, acting as an attorney in some 100 cases. Up to his old tricks, Kurtz managed to delay his trial when he told a judge he had just lost his "elderly mother." Actually Mother Kurtz is alive and well.

Laura Gonzalez, a teller in an Atlantic City bank, was robbed by **Mortimer Hetsberger**, who is now suing her for \$1.5 million. She testified that the robber had a gun and made some controversial remarks during the holdup. Hetsberger claimed he was defamed.

Howard Glickin of Miami has to pay \$120,000 in penalties for soliciting \$20,000 from a foreigner in the 1993 Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee. Such a solicitation is illegal. Glickin happens to be a good friend of the Veep.

When **Lawrence Phillips**, a Miami Dolphins running back, asked a woman (race unspecified) to dance at a Florida nightclub, he was turned down. Phillips took the brush-off so personally that he proceeded to batter the woman, forcing her to be hospitalized with several severe facial lacerations and a broken tooth. This was not the first time that Phillips has been accused of such unchivalrous behavior. Apparently what ticked him off was the woman's failure to recognize a famous black athlete—meaning himself.

Talking Numbers

1.83 71 100.013 .03% 43 31425

More than 2 million legal immigrants who have resided in the U.S. for five years are waiting to be sworn in as U.S. citizens. It's the largest backlog ever. The wait used to take 6 months. Now it's up to 18 months.

AIDS among whites (18 to 27) dropped 50% in 1988-93, while the number of infected black women in the same age group jumped 60%. Black women are picking up the disease by dallying with infected males. For every white who comes down with AIDS, 8 black women are infected.

Diane Thornton of Broken Arrow (OK) bore a manchild for a male homosexual couple. She charged \$15,000 plus expenses. Michele Cohen of Santa Rosa (CA), after being artificially inseminated with semen from a male homo, will give birth to a boy in a few months.

A survey of new home buyers in Los Angeles County in 1997 came up with these names listed by the frequency of purchase: Garcia, Lee (Chinese), Rodriguez, Lopez, Gonzales, Martinez, Hernandez and Kim (Korean). Smith came in ninth. (Wall St. Journal, July 7, 1998)

All four daughters of the Brown family, one of whom married the knife-wielding O.J., had breast implants. None had a college degree.

It's 9,000 times more likely that an American black woman will have a black husband than a nonblack spouse. According to the Population Association of America the rate of black/white intermarriage is not significantly higher than it was 30 years ago. The principal danger to white survival is not so much intermarriage but the higher birthrates of the non-whites, the sinking birthrates of whites and the murderously high nonwhite immigration.

The average worker in the General Motors plant at Flint (MI), makes \$98,000 a year, \$29,000 of it in the form of benefits.

Terrell Davis, the Denver Broncos' black running back, has signed a contract that will give him \$56.1 million over a period of 9 years, if the economy holds up that long.

Much U.S. foreign aid in fiscal 1988 went to countries that voted against the

U.S. in the UN General Assembly more than half the time. Egypt, which received \$2.1 billion, voted against the U.S. 67% of the time; Jordan \$192 million (67%); India \$143 million (80%); Peru \$116 million (57%); Haiti \$101 million (57%); Ethiopia \$114 million (61%).

46.3% of American women over 65 are widows. 4,130,000 couples are unmarried. 3 million children under age 18 live with their fathers.

More of the nation's 69,500,000 children are Hispanic than black.

At least 6 illegals die each week trekking across the boiling hot American Southwest.

Up to 6 million Europeans were sold in slave markets in North Africa and Southwest Asia in the last 700 years.

Anastasia Steinberg, hate crime consultant for Santa Clara County (CA), has stated that a profile of a hate crime criminal is that of a white male and between the ages of 19 and 26. This statement is so far off base that it has become known as "Steinberg's Lie."

Resisting Defamation has compiled a list of 170 slurs used against European Americans. The outfit's address is 2530 Berryessa Road, #616, San Jose, CA 95132.

To help pay off Clinton's mountainous legal expenses, Hollywood Jews coughed up \$10,000 each at recent fundraisers. Tom Hanks was one of the relatively few non-Jewish contributors. Was he co-opted?

Edging towards the truth. In 1977 only 13% of Americans believed homosexuality was innate. By June 1998, 47% were converted.

41% of white families own computers; only 19% of black and Hispanic menages. Liberals blame penury and second-rate schools for this discrepancy. IQ differences might be a better reason.

A jury in Manning (SC) ordered a local Ku Klux Klan group to pay \$37.8 million to a black church burned down by four Southerners stirred up by antiblack oratory. Proditor Morris Dees plans to seize the title of the Klan headquarters, a lowly and unimposing shed. \$15 million of the award must be paid by Horace King, the Klan

leader, though obviously he has no way in the world of raising that kind of money.


October 20, 1998 is the 25th anniversary of the underreported Zebra killings in California when blacks, in a racist rampage, slaughtered 71 whites. It was the mother of all hate crimes.

A Rand Corp. study has tallied 670 terrorist acts committed in the U.S. in 1982-92. The FBI counted only 165.

190,000 Jews attend 650 Jewish schools in the U.S.

In 1990 minorities comprised 7.5% of U.S. lawyers. Almost 20% of the would-be shysters now in law school belong to one or another minority contingent.

DELUXE TRAVELER



Newt Gingrich's 4-day trip to London last year cost \$33,141. Atlantic Richfield picked up the tab.

Blacks and Hispanics on welfare outnumber whites by about 2 to 1, thereby trashing the old liberal cliché that more whites than blacks receive government handouts. To illustrate "white flight" from welfare, in 1995, 49% of welfare recipients in a Texas county were black; 42% were white. In 1998 blacks comprised 54% of the welfare recipients, whites 38%.

Although 47% of St. Louisans are black, they accounted for only 3.5% of the attendance at last year's Cardinals games.

Only 291 Border Patrol agents guard the 4,000-mile border with Canada, which has 90 checkpoints. 16,000 illegals were caught heading south from Canada last year. In the same 12 months 1,368,707 illegals were apprehended sneaking into the U.S. from Mexico.

The nation's largest newspapers seem to have a quota for minority journalists that ranges from 16% to 18%.

Blacks account for 8.2% of U.S. buying power (after-tax income).



Waspishly Yours

Let's assume that poetry is "memorable speech," as Wordsworth would have it. If you like, add, "of high seriousness," to satisfy priestly pedant Matthew Arnold.

How much "memorable speech"—of high or low seriousness—can you remember from all the movies you have seen? I can remember one memorable line.

In a vintage British film called *Stairway to Heaven*, David Niven played a bomber pilot in WWII. Bailing out over the Channel without a parachute, he somehow survived. During our hero's treatment for amnesia, a psychiatrist asks him, "Do you believe in God?" Niven answers, "God? I don't know. I haven't thought about it. Do you believe?" "I don't know either," answers the shaman. "I've thought about it too much."

I remembered this riposte during a discussion I had recently with a Chosenite about the Holocaust.

My discussant was a non-believing Jew. (There must be other kinds; I hear about them and see pictures, but is seeing the same as believing any more?) Although we were mutually polite, I'm sure he'd have throttled me cheerfully, if circumstances had permitted.

According to my Jewish interlocutor, the strongest "proof" of the gas chambers is eyewitness testimony. I was there, "survivors" say; I saw it happen. But isn't the "evidence" for Christianity just as compelling, just as reliable as the "evidence" for gas chambers? Weren't there first-hand accounts of an empty tomb? Wasn't Jesus actually seen after His resurrection? Didn't innocent Egyptians die from the plagues sent by Yahweh, just as Jews (and Gypsies, nuns and priests) died from typhus in the camps?

Didn't Jesus walk on water? What did the Jews do compared to that? A burning bush or two? A lamp which burns for eight days instead of one?

But isn't the Christian documentation more masterful than these myths? Didn't St. Paul write all those inspired letters, without ever having seen Jesus? And didn't Michelangelo and Rembrandt document it all?

If Jews won't believe the eyewitness accounts of Jesus instantly changing water into wine, why should anybody believe the eyewitness accounts of the Holocaust? If the Jews can believe their Bible, which took thousands of years just to get written, and hundreds of years more just to get collected into a single book, why can't they believe the eyewitness accounts of Christians which were recorded as early as A.D. 70?

Christians believe in the Jesus fairy tale, say the Jews, because it gives purpose to their otherwise meaningless lives. If so, why do Jews like Alan Dershowitz argue that life has become meaningless for many non-religious Jews? Why do they argue that Judaism is the glue that

holds Jews together, without which the Chosen will perform a disappearing act as a people, be assimilated into the inferior gene pool of those racist Gentiles? But why can't white Gentiles make the same argument?

So who could believe that Jesus was taken directly into heaven, when Jews were taken directly into hell? So what if Jews did not believe, either in Yahweh or Jesus? (How could Jesus go directly into heaven, just like those heaven-bound heroes of Hebrew mythology, Jeremiah and Elijah?)

Who could believe in the Blessed Virgin Mary? Isn't it better to believe in a whore named Rahab who helped Joshua to rehabilitate Jericho? Or Solomon with his 300 wives? Or Peeping-Tom King David who sent Uriah to his death so that he could bathe himself in Bathsheba?

In sum, if Jews can't believe in the divinely inspired eyewitness accounts of the life of Jesus the Messiah, why should anybody believe their fallible eyewitness accounts of the Holocaust? Are Christians less interested in "truth" than Jews?

Memory is tricky, especially historical memory. Deconstructionists like the Jewish Jacques Derrida claim that you can't trust language with the truth. So he derides language as a fiction. Contemporary power structures "create" history, claims the French sodomite, Michel Foucault. Where does this leave the gas chambers and the Holocaust?

On the whole, the Christian fairy tale is more credible than the gassy accounts of self-serving Jews. The crucified "King of the Jews" was not interested in politics, not interested in swiping any Swiss swag or pilfering Pilate's Roman gold. Can AIPAC and pistol-packing modern Jews say as much?

The eyewitness accounts of the life of Jesus are just as reliable as the eyewitness accounts of the "survivors" of the Holocaust. Do the Jewish eyewitnesses to the Holocaust claim to be divinely inspired, as well as infallible? Did any of the hot Gospellers from Galilee survive to grab a share of Swiss gold?

If the Jews won't accept the eyewitness accounts of the life and death of Jesus, why should anybody believe their eyewitness accounts of the massacre of six million Jews and at least that many "others"? (How come the "others" don't clamor to testify like the Jews? How come there were no Gypsies, nuns, priests, or Jehovah's Witnesses, on Schindler's hitman hit parade?)

My Chosenite conversationalist stalked away shaking his head, clenching his fists and muttering to himself. Was it because he hadn't thought enough about "eyewitness accounts" or was it because he had thought too much about them?

V.S. STINGER

STRAY PIXELS

The boycott of Disney by the Southern Baptists is about as lethal as attacking an elephant with a peashooter. The Disney bosses are paragons of the establishment. CEO Michael Eisner had no fear when he slyly intimated that Baptists reminded him of Nazis. When the Texas State School Board sold \$46.4 million of Disney stock, this was just another light slap on the wrist. What the enemies of Disney don't understand is the power of Disney, which is far greater than that of the Southern Baptists—and probably of all of the Protestant churches put together.

The moral puffery of Dr. Laura (Schlessinger) titillates 18 million pairs of ears a week. The immoral puffery of Howard Stern attracts a few million pairs less. Dr. Laura doesn't soft-pedal her Jewishness. Among her other accomplishments, she has displaced the media's star agony aunts, Abigail Van Buren and Ann Landers, two Jewish sisters. Dr. Laura recently arranged for her entire family—husband, child and herself—to convert to the hardcore Orthodox branch of Jewry. Before she became famous she was known for doing exactly what she advises her audience not to do. Although she harps on family values, she hasn't spoken to her mother in 14 years. Having co-authored her latest book with a rabbi, Dr. Laura explains her success in terms redolent of Jewish racism. "I give evidence of God's presence on earth! That was the deal at Sinai; that was the deal given to the Jews."


In a broadcast back in 1996, *60 Minutes* let it be known that all Ukrainians had a strong negative attitude towards Jews. After years of complaining by Jewish groups federal TV regulators have finally been persuaded to look into the matter. If they should find some wrongdoing, the network or station could actually lose its license. CBS was owned by Jews at the time of the original broadcast. Today it is owned by non-Jewish Westinghouse. Watch out CBS!

The man behind the *Jerry Springer Show* is not Springer, but another Jewish impresario, Norman Lebow, who looks like something out of a horror film. Lebow is the producer who stages the "spontaneous" fights that break out among the guests. Time magazine in a recent extravagant piece about Barry Diller, Jewish head of USA Network, Inc., didn't bother to let readers know that Diller's company owns the worst show on TV.

Despite all the recent lower and higher court rulings, the Federal Communications Commission, whose chairman is Walter Kennard, a black, will continue to enforce racial preferences. Almost all the big mediacrats are going along with the FCC. What the people want, the people don't get.

Baltimore radio station WOLB has a black talking head, C. Miles, who routinely fires off barrages of racial slurs at whites. If a Majority member dared say half as much against blacks, he would be out on the street the next day.

Gary "Big Face" Faison, of KKDA-FM, Dallas, was arrested in July and charged with raping a 24-year-old woman (race unspecified). Faison, a black, allegedly entered her



apartment through an unlocked sliding glass door at 2:00 a.m. Threatening to kill her if she screamed, he tied her up and repeatedly raped her. Faison had served 32 months in jail for rape in 1982, but nobody seemed to remember that when he was hired.

There is no nation in their expansive litany of hate that Jews dislike more than Iran. State Dept. spokesman James Rubin, however, did not let his ethnic animosity get in the way of marrying Christine Amanpour, the continent-hopping, half-Iranian CNN reporter.

From Zip 915. An admirer of actor Peter Coyote, I flipped on the TV flick starring him as a power-crazed colonel. When I saw that his cute blonde wife turned to a Mike Tyson clone for help, I switched channels. White villain, blonde angel, black hero—been there, seen that!

From Zip 115. PBS documentary on Mark Rothko started out with semi-realistic "art" reminiscent of pictures posted on kindergarten walls for parents' day. Next came giant "paintings," mostly with two big colored rectangles divided by a black smear. When his esthetic refinement reached its peak, Rothko went beyond recognizable figures or even colors, "painting" just black rectangles. PBS Eggheads waxed increasingly enthusiastic at this artistic "progression."

From Zip 224. Two or three times weekly the subject of CNN's *Talk Back Live* relates to Clinton's escapades. Blacks in the audience unanimously back the Prez. As far as they are concerned he deserves a spot on Mt. Rushmore.

From Zip 918. Ray of sunshine: Magic Johnson and Bryant Gumbel canceled. We can't yet be forced to watch!

From Zip 660. Hoist by its own petard department. Since trendy white liberals tend to believe Third Worlders walk on water, imagine the consternation of the white Anglican bishop whose black African colleague tried publicly to exorcise his homosexuality! Heard it on PBS! People of color don't "get it" about being gay!

Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

Allow me to say a few words about America's involvement in WWI, WWII and (probably) WWIII. In all three cases, America was, or will be, the key factor. In all three cases propaganda was a decisive weapon, propaganda that later rebounded on the propagandists.

At the death of Queen Victoria, Great Britain was the greatest world power, with a more populous empire than any in the past. Her power was based on her navy, by far the largest in the world. Britain, however, had an Achilles' heel: the maintenance of free trade long after it had ceased to benefit the mother country. During Victoria's reign, the standard of living of the British worker increased by about one percent a year. But its two principal competitors, the U.S. and Germany, later began to pull ahead. Both had armies bigger than Britain's.

U.S. capital operated from behind a high tariff wall (47% in 1861), which was the principal economic reason for the secession of the agricultural South. Germany was also protected by a high tariff wall. By Victoria's death in 1901 it was evident that the U.S. and Germany were pulling ahead of the British economically.

To counter this, Joseph Chamberlain began to make speeches in favour of "Imperial Preference," an imperial tariff wall. He was struck down by a paralytic stroke in July 1906.

The alternative to a tariff was for Britain to destroy one of her two trading rivals. America had been an impossible target since the end of the War Between the States, from which the North emerged with a huge army. The reason why it did not march into Canada was that the British Navy guarded the seaways as America expanded westwards. It was safer to expand economically than militarily into Canada. Yet even as late as Teddy Roosevelt's presidency, he was considering invading Canada, if Britain did not play ball.

The chief promoters of a British anti-German policy were Sir Ernest Cassels, the Jewish banker mentor of Edward VII, and Admiral Lord Fisher, the son of a Ceylonese court prostitute. As the *Entente Cordiale* developed strength, the Germans began to fear that postponement of the inevitable war would result in Germany's defeat. The most important factor was the extraordinary speed of Russia's economic development prior to 1914.

In the longer run, Russia was likely to be the more dangerous rival. Before 1914, Russian productivity was so high it looked as though Russia would be capable of outclassing both Germany and the U.S. That is why, in 1917, J. P. Morgan went along with Kuhn Loeb, Jacob Schiff and

Rothschild's in liberally funding Trotsky and his murderous Jewish Communist revolutionaries. (Lenin was also of part-Jewish origin, though this was denied for many, many years.)

The crippling of Russia was the Jewish aim. Huge quantities of Russian furs and jewelry soon made their appearance in New York, the property of massacred aristocrats and bourgeois. Then came the obliteration of the engineers, as Solzhenitsyn records. Without engineers, no effective economy! Ordinary Russians were then progressively enslaved, though the peasantry was allowed to function for a few more years before massacre and collectivisation became their lot as well.

British lies were to play a big part in WWI. They were the work of some very effective propagandists, including Arnold Toynbee, the poor man's Oswald Spengler, and John Buchan, later governor-general of Canada. In his Richard Hannay novels (*The 39 Steps*, *Greenmantle* and *Mr. Standfast*) Buchan went so far as to cast a slur on German women, whom he likened to a herd of cows. What a contrast with Rupert Brooke's reverie at the beginning of WWI, when he declared his love for England but referred to "the admirable beauty of German women!" Love for their country makes soldiers fight more effectively than hatred of the enemy—as we saw in both world wars. There is a lesson in this for the future. We should love the best of our own people more than we hate our enemies. It may well be necessary to kill some enemies, but that is another matter.

The British had an excellent model to follow: William Randolph Hearst's propaganda from 1895 to 1898. Readers of his publications were informed that the Spaniards were in the habit of throwing prisoners to the sharks, raping Cuban women, imprisoning nuns and burning priests alive (25 by one count). None of these tales was substantiated later. Mark Twain protested in print against the hysteria generated by Hearst's publications, but he was ignored.

Then came the destruction of the *Maine*, which was seized upon as a *casus belli*. (In fact the explosion appears to have happened inside the ship, not outside it.) Bible Protestants found it easy to hate the Spaniards, because of the intolerant popery of Spain, a line assiduously propagated over more than three centuries. How many people know that the Inquisition never burned a witch or that it had strict rules against severe torture. (Unlike Walsingham, the head of Elizabeth I's secret service, who boasted that he could make a man a foot taller than God had made him.





Canada. For Doug Collins, it's a case of double jeopardy that may balloon to multiple jeopardy. No sooner did the British Columbia Human Rights Commission grudgingly clear him of hate charges stemming from his 1994 Schindler's (Swindler's) List column than he was charged again, this time for two columns, one being the original Schindler's List classic.

While the first complaint was lodged by the Canadian Jewish Congress, the more recent charges, heard in Victoria B.C. last July, emanated from Harry Abrams, the B'nai B'rith's B.C. hitman. He launched the new litigation as an individual, so his lawyer's bill will be paid by taxpayers. The defendants—the now-retired Collins and his former employer, the North Shore News—will have to pay their own way (some \$200,000 was spent fighting the previous charge). B'nai Brith has obtained intervenor status and is represented by several lawyers. The Human Rights Commission, by no means a disinterested body, also has its own shysters. Abrams demands \$2,000 damages for himself, plus \$10,000 for B'nai Brith, plus a formal apology. Interestingly the Jewish group failed to recruit Iranian, Japanese, Chinese and Sikh co-complainants to bolster its grievance.

Collins and the North Shore News people boycotted the ad hoc inquisition, stating that they plan to challenge the constitutionality of B.C. human rights legislation. Evidence presented to the tribunal consisted of predictably inane sophistry from stereotypical academics. Frances Henry, an anthropologist, testified that Collins' writing "trivialized" Jewish suffering, though she herself had trivialized Collins' statements about mass atrocities in the Far East. Abrams testified not only that "my people and my ethnicity were intimidated" by the Collins' columns but that he, Abrams, is under surveillance by right-wing extremists who are recording his private conversations.

The wisest remarks came in a press scrum outside the proceedings. There Collins castigated the tribunal as a kangaroo court:

[W]here truth is no defense, where opinion is on trial, where fines can be unlimited, where there is no trial by jury, where the judge, called an adjudicator, is judge, jury and prosecutor, where there is no right of appeal. . . where impressions count for more than fact.

The tribunal shut up shop on July 24 but its members could take several months to render a decision.

The Canadian military is no longer debating whether to pay for sex change operations for soldiers who want them, as reported in the August issue of *Instauration*. The country's armed forces have come out in favor of the idea.

The Nation of Islam opened its first Canadian mosque in late June, only to have it shut down within days. Acting on a complaint from the Canadian Jewish Congress, the Toronto Police Hate Crimes Unit is investigating a possible breach of Canada's censorship laws.

The alleged crimes involve negative comments about Jews and homosexuals. At the building's official opening, a black Muslim Holocaust denier named Don Muhammad reportedly spoke disparagingly of lesbian TV actress Ellen DeGeneres. As for his attitude to Jews, Muhammad is credited with saying they "loan billions of dollars to try to take over the sovereignty of the government and they have to find a way to collect their debt."

Britain. When Dr. Mohammed Sabbutu found he was deeply in debt, he decided his only solution was to burn down a nursery home he owned. The insurance money he collected would enable him to settle what he owed. Unfortunately for Sabbutu, a smoke alarm warned neighbors to call a fire brigade which arrived in time to put out the blaze. To lend his crime more credibility, the arsonist smeared racial slurs on the walls and made liberal use of the initials of the National Front.

If Rev. Martin Luther King Jr. had not been a Protestant, by now he would be a prime candidate for sainthood by the Catholic Church. The Church of England has done the next best thing. It has made him a "martyr" and put up a life-size statue of King in Westminster Abbey. Queen Elizabeth and Prince Philip attended the unveiling in July. Apparently a testosterone-loaded womanizer and loose-lipped plagiarizer can be as revered a figure in the Sceptred Isle as he is in the U.S.

It's generally considered that the BBC aspires to a higher standard of broadcasting than American networks. No more! The BBC broadcast a completely fallacious documentary on the life of the late

Enoch Powell, one of the few British pols who stood up against the mongrelization of his country by dark races from Africa and Asia. Holding the same grudge against Powell that American TV had towards the late George Wallace and has towards Pat Buchanan, British broadcasters accused Powell of going to British Guiana and some Caribbean islands many years ago to encourage the natives to move to England. This was an egregious falsehood, but who cares about truth when the liberal-minority coalition is putting the reputation of a dead man in the worst possible light. The production company responsible for the broadcast is headed by a Negro, Trevor Phillips, which is probably why the media were slow to apologize when the truth came out that Powell had never set foot in any of these black lands.

Time Warner and CNN confected an equally damnable howler when they aired a TV program claiming that U.S. troops had used poison gas in Vietnam. It turned out later that all that was used was ordinary tear gas.

An interesting article by Norman Stone in the *Sunday Times* (Aug. 2, 1998) suggests that WWII could have been ended a year earlier if the British and Americans had been less reluctant to accept a greater number of casualties. Russia lost 25 million dead in the war; the Americans and British about 300,000 each. Russia had one man in the front for every man in the rear. The British ratio was one to three; the American one to nine. The Allied high command apparently believed in Winston Churchill's warning that if the British troops suffered more than 10% casualties, they would become incapable of fighting.

In North Africa, Britain had 1,440 tanks to the Germans' 260. On D-Day, air supremacy in favor of the Allies was 30 to one against the Germans. On account of this huge imbalance of power, Stone believes the war could have ended considerably earlier if the Allies had been willing to let men, not machines and technology, do most of the fighting.

Holland. When are we ever going to get the complete, unexpurgated *Diary of Anne Frank*? Every few years some material omitted from the "original" version is discovered. The newest find is some pages scissored by Anne's late father, Otto Frank, who didn't want readers to learn about his daughter's opinion of her parents' marriage. She labeled it "near loveless." In keeping with the usual Jewish reaction to such disputes, the Anne Frank



Foundation USA is threatening to sue the Amsterdam newspaper that published these unearthed pages without permission. It demands that any money made from their publication be given to a Holocaust foundation.

Switzerland. As was evident from the start of the Nazi gold scandal, Swiss bankers wouldn't be able to take the heat. Under the relentless, ungentle prodding of world Jewish organizations and their American bagmen, some \$1.5 billion was paid to get the Chosen off Switzerland's back. Next step on the Bronfman agenda was to hit European insurance companies accused of failing to honor the real or imagined policies of Holocaust survivors.

While all this money was rolling into Jewish coffers, no mention was made of any Jewish payoffs to Palestinians, 800,000 of whom have been driven from their homes by the Zionist invaders of Palestine.

Germany. One of the top 10 rock videos now showing on German TV consists entirely of footage from Leni Riefenstahl's film classic, *The Olympics*, a brilliant record of the 1936 games in Berlin. Jews are fuming. Riefenstahl, now 96, is taking the event in stride. Communist filmmakers, who are partly responsible for the deaths of plus or minus 25 million in the Soviet Union are quickly forgiven and allowed to ply their trade. Nazi filmmakers are never forgiven and forever tainted.

German reparations to Israel assumes many guises. When Israel ordered from Germany three subs designed to carry nuclear weapons, the Germans paid the bill for two of them. In a rare act of noblesse oblige Israel bore the cost of the third.

Poland. For all one knows, as many Poles as Jews died in Poland in WWII. But when Poles put up crosses to honor the Polish dead at Auschwitz, Jews screamed bloody murder. The Chosen somehow believe that they have a monopoly on WWII suffering and that non-Jews don't have the right to memorialize their dead with crosses on what Jews consider their own holy ground. After everyone from N.Y. Times' editorialists to Israeli rabbis demanded that the crosses, especially a 25-foot one, be removed, the Poles still held firm. The crosses, now numbering 152, mark the site where in 1941 German soldiers killed 152 Poles.

Israel has made a formal protest to Po-

land about the goings-on. Cardinal Glemp, his eyes on the media, came out against planting any more crosses. But he didn't ask for those already there to be removed. In an attempt to dodge the issue, a spokesman for the Polish government said the crosses were on private ground and therefore Warsaw couldn't order their removal. More than 70% of Poles want to keep the large cross in place. Since Jews these days usually get what they want, it is possible that limp-wristed priests in the Vatican will persuade the Pope to take the side of the anti-crucifix crowd.

Russia. Russians are simply not temperamentally attuned to democracy, which probably accounts for the near chaos in the country today. Democracy, unsuited to the Russian soul, automatically attracts swarms of Russian-Jewish politicians. Many of the ruling clique in Russia today are Chosenites. Prime minister Yevgeny Primakov was born Yevgeny Finkelstein. Anatoly Chubais and Boris Nemtsov, leading Kremlin wirepullers, are part-Jewish. The most important man behind the scenes is Boris Berezovsky, who is or was an Israeli citizen. Yeltsin, as is obvious from his epicanthic fold, is a tad Mongoloid.

Primakov, hardly any taller than dwarfish Madeleine Albright, while in a diplomatic meeting in Manila, joined her in a rousing rendition of *West Side Story*. The tunes were written by bisexual Leonard Bernstein, a racial cousin, and stolen from Shakespeare's *Romeo and Juliet*. Primakov and Albright played the part of the star-crossed lovers and wooed each other a *cappello* while prancing around the floor.

Berezovsky recently made a trip to New York and scurried immediately to Goldman Sachs, where he picked up \$8 billion in T bills. He paid for this huge sum with semi-worthless rubles. Berezovsky pocketed his 2% commission and Goldman Sachs probably got a bigger bite. The U.S., per usual, was taken to the cleaners.

Was it pure coincidence that at the height of the recent Russian crisis, Sam Bronfman, head of the World Jewish Congress, showed up in Moscow and had a long conference with Primakov? Since Bronfman can be described without too much exaggeration as the real U.S. Secretary of State, whatever shady deals he made there would automatically have Clinton's approval.

Alexander Lebed, the governor of the huge Siberian province of Krasoyvas, wrote a letter to Sergei Kiriyenko when the latter was briefly prime minister, to the effect that if Russian officers in his extensive bailiwick are not paid soon, he may decide to put the nuclear missile site there under his direct command.

Joel Barr, another of the bevy of American-Jewish atomic spies, died in Moscow at age 82. A good friend of the Rosenbergs, he ran off to the Soviet Union before the FBI closed in on him. Despite his spying record, in 1991 he managed to recoup his U.S. passport, which he used to make several trips to the U.S. He even managed to qualify for Social Security.

Middle East. The Muslim world has a new hero, Osama bin Laden, the alleged mastermind behind the bombing of the American Embassies in Nairobi, Kenya, and Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. Already he is being compared to Saladin, who kicked the Christian Crusaders out of the Middle East in the Middle Ages. The oil-rich bin Laden is one of 55 siblings and half-siblings. His father is a wealthy Yemeni; his mother a Saudi. Clinton sent salvos of Tomahawk Cruise Missiles winging their way to bin Laden's Afghan stronghold, but he emerged without a scratch. Like Saddam, Osama keeps moving.



Saladin
Will Osama fill his shoes?

The early Christian Crusaders were financed and inspired by popes and medieval Western kings. The present-day Jewish-American crusaders are directed by Washington, Hollywood and New York, and financed by the U.S. Treasury. It may take longer to get the present crop of crusaders out of the Middle East than it took Saladin to expel the infidels. But the outcome is almost certain. What amounts to a sliver of a beachhead on a hostile continent cannot remain there forever. There are 1.2 billion Muslims. The logistics for



continuing the American-Jewish occupation of Palestine is incalculable.

If Osama is not the next Saladin, certainly some other Islamite will take up the cudgel. The whole Muslim world is crying out for a leader.

Israel. Nahum Manbar was given an 11-year prison sentence for selling equipment and material to make poison gas to Iran, perhaps the deadliest of Israel's enemies. Here we have the not exactly rare case of Jewish money-grubbing triumphing over Jewish racism.

A Jewish judge ruled that Sam Sheinbein, 18, can be extradited from Israel and returned to the States to stand trial for his part in the murder and mutilation of a Latino youth in Maryland in a screwed-up drug deal. Generally, Israel serves as a safe house for Jewish criminals fleeing arrest worldwide. In this case, where some critical voices had been raised in Congress, a technicality was found on the basis that Sheinbein was not born in Israel. It may be some time, however, before Sheinbein appears in a U.S. court. His Israeli lawyer is appealing. Many hardcore Zionists want to keep him in the Promised Land. Sheinbein's partner in murder, another Jew, committed suicide in his Maryland prison cell a day or two before his trial was scheduled.

South Africa. In the current government, the Communist Party (SACP) holds two Cabinet seats and 80 of the 400 seats in Parliament. The policies of Mandela's African National Congress and those of the SACP, including their past terrorist programs, have been indistinguishable in the past 30 years.

Zimbabwe. A nationalist campaigner named Chakaredza has called for the remains of Cecil Rhodes, the founder of Rhodesia (now Zimbabwe), to be exhumed and thrown into the crocodile-infested Zambezi River. Chakaredza said that the burial of the Britisher in the Matopos National Park is offensive because the area is the traditional burial ground of tribal chiefs. Considering the changes taking place in America today, one wonders where Washington's or Jefferson's remains will wind up a hundred years from now.

Nigeria. One of the biggest wheeler dealers in this totally corrupt country is Theodore Luttwak, who sells Italian mar-

ble for the palatial spreads of Negro military leaders, the warlords who skim millions off Nigeria's \$10-billion-a-year oil income. Born in Transylvania, Luttwak is—you guessed it!—a Holocaust survivor. His younger brother, Edward, was a foreign defense policy adviser in the Reagan administration and is now a senior fellow at the Center for Strategy and International Studies in Washington.

Ernest Mabuda killed and dismembered his 21-month-old son. The torso was sent to Mabuda's tribal chief with his compliments. The head, arms, legs and genitals, packaged separately in plastic shopping bags, are stored in Mabuda's home. The murderous father explained that his ancestral spirits had ordered him to commit infanticide. Body parts, according to tribal medical lore, can be used to cure all sorts of infectious diseases. If these parts are removed while the victim is still alive, their potency is greatly increased.

Mexico. One of the tasks of the new U.S. ambassador to Mexico is to slow down illegal immigration. We wonder if Jeffrey Davidow, a typical Clinton appointee, is really putting his heart into it.


Honduras. Santos Pastor Baca, a one-man population explosion, is the proud father of 35 children. His oldest is 51; his youngest three months.

Australia. As happens in most so-called democracies, any Australian politician who puts the interest of his country's majority first is in for a rough ride. Pauline Henson, founder of the One Nation Party, was on the way to a political rally in Western Australia when her car was surrounded by a screeching leftist-liberal-Jewish canaille that tried unsuccessfully to do her bodily harm. Hanson's experience proved once again that in Australia, as in most other parts of the white world, it is far safer to be a leftist than a rightist politician.

China. One of the highlights of the Clintons' trip to China was the trumpeted visit of Hillary to a back-street Jewish synagogue in Shanghai. For a moment it brought back the glory days of Middle Eastern Jews, notably the Sassoons, who moved to the Chinese metropolis in the latter part of the 19th century and "went on to dominate the real estate, securities and banking businesses," as the N.Y.

Times put it. The invading Japs and later Chairman Mao put an end to this economic squeeze.

The Jewish Holocaust, the great horror story of modern history, in some ways pales into insignificance when compared to the Rape of Nanking. Iris Chang, a Chinese American, has recorded the hideous details in her revelatory book, *The Rape of Nanking* (Basic Books 1997, \$25). What she describes is not a pretty picture. It happened at the end of 1937 when Japanese troops seized Nanking, which had become a last stop for hundreds of thousands of refugees and defeated soldiers. When Jap troops were instructed to kill all prisoners of war, 14,777 Chinese soldiers, after surrendering and after having their arms tied behind their backs, were marched to the banks of the Yangtze and mowed down by machine-gun fire. It was then that the river was said to have run red with blood. Some civilians were half-buried and left to the mercies of ravenous dogs. Every woman the Japs could get their hands on was raped. Some Chinese were herded to the tops of buildings, which were then set on fire. "Decapitation" contests were held. Altogether some 300,000 were killed.



Until the publication of Chang's book, very few Americans had ever heard of this oriental Holocaust. Was it because the media didn't want to focus on any atrocity that might steal the thunder of the Holocaust? Was it because the hero of the Nanking bloodbath was a Nazi named John Rabe, who set up a protective zone for thousands of Chinese who otherwise would have been murdered? Rabe died unwept and unknown in Berlin in 1950. It's quite possible that his heroic work and the genocide itself would never have been known if it hadn't been for the dogged research of Iris Chang.